

Population Perception of The Public Policy Process During Social Crises

Mamani Flores Adderly¹, Romero Cahuana Javier Rubén², Tintaya Choquehuanca Olimpia³, Málaga Apaza Vicente⁴, Incacutipa Limachi Duverly Joao⁵ and Morales Quispe Erich Feliciano⁶

Abstract

In times of crisis, it becomes imperative to strengthen representative democracy by fostering community organization as a means of citizen engagement. This study aimed to explore the experiences of individuals who facilitate such mechanisms during national crises. Employing a qualitative approach, the research utilized the phenomenological hermeneutic method, engaging six community leaders from Puno, Peru, as key participants. The findings underscored the pivotal role of community leaders as the voice of the people and highlighted the significance of community organizing in building a robust and inclusive democracy. The study concluded that, during crises, mechanisms for citizen participation are essential to amplify the voices of traditionally marginalized communities.

Keywords: Public policy, Civic Engagement, Crisis Situations, Representative Democracy, Social Crises

INTRODUCTION

Democracy, as a political system, has been a cornerstone of human governance since ancient times. Rooted in the Greek words "demos" (people) and "kratos" (power), democracy fundamentally represents "the power of the people." This concept, which originated in ancient Greece and Rome, has evolved over centuries, adapting to the changing social, political, and economic landscapes of human societies. Today, democracy is widely regarded as the most legitimate form of governance, embodying ideals of equality, freedom, and participation. However, the practice of democracy, particularly in its representative form, has often fallen short of these ideals, especially in times of crisis.

Representative democracy, the dominant model in most modern states, is structured around the separation of powers into executive, legislative, and judicial branches. This model, inspired by the constitutional monarchies of Europe, was designed to ensure checks and balances within government. However, it has also led to a significant disconnect between decision-makers and the communities they are meant to serve. In many cases, the voices of marginalized and underserved populations are overlooked, leaving them excluded from the democratic process. This exclusion becomes even more pronounced during national crises, when the urgency of the situation often leads to centralized decision-making that further alienates local communities.

The gap between the state and its citizens is particularly evident in regions like Latin America, where historical inequalities and systemic neglect have left many communities without access to basic resources or political representation. In these contexts, the mechanisms of citizen participation become crucial for ensuring that the needs and voices of the people are heard. Community organization and leadership emerge as vital tools for bridging this gap, enabling citizens to advocate for their rights and influence decision-making processes.

The importance of citizen participation in democracy cannot be overstated. Participation is not merely a right but a fundamental pillar of democratic governance. It ensures that governments remain accountable to their citizens and that policies reflect the needs and aspirations of the people. In times of crisis, such as economic

¹ Professor, Universidad Nacional del Altiplano Puno, Perú, E-mail: adderlymamani@unap.edu.pe

² Professor, Universidad Nacional del Altiplano Puno, Perú, E-mail: jromero@unap.edu.pe

³ Professor, Universidad Nacional del Altiplano Puno, Perú, E-mail: otintaya@unap.edu.pe

⁴ Professor, Universidad Nacional Jorge Basadre Grohmann Tacna, Perú, E-mail: vmalagaa@unjbgo.edu.pe

⁵ Professor, Universidad Nacional del Altiplano Puno, Perú, E-mail: djincacutipa@unap.edu.pe

⁶ Professor, Universidad Nacional del Altiplano Puno, Perú, E-mail: emorales@unap.edu.pe

downturns, natural disasters, or political upheavals, citizen participation becomes even more critical. Crises often exacerbate existing inequalities, leaving vulnerable populations even more marginalized. In these situations, traditional mechanisms of representative democracy may fail to address the immediate needs of communities, making alternative forms of participation essential.

This study focuses on the role of community leaders and community organization as mechanisms for citizen participation during national crises. By examining the experiences of community leaders in Puno, Peru, during the 2022 national crisis, the research seeks to understand how local actors facilitate citizen engagement and advocate for their communities in the face of systemic neglect. The study is grounded in the belief that community leadership and organization are not just reactive measures but proactive strategies for building a more inclusive and participatory democracy.

The research is particularly relevant in the context of Latin America, where historical and structural inequalities have created significant challenges for democratic governance. In countries like Peru, where large segments of the population live in poverty and lack access to basic services, the role of community leaders becomes even more critical. These leaders often serve as the primary link between their communities and the state, advocating for resources, services, and policy changes that address local needs. Their work is not only essential for the survival of their communities but also for the strengthening of democratic institutions.

The study is guided by the following research question: *What is the reality of citizens who enable mechanisms of participation during national crises, and how do community leaders facilitate this process?* By exploring this question, the research aims to shed light on the ways in which community leaders and organizations contribute to the development of a more inclusive and participatory democracy, particularly in times of crisis.

The findings of this study have important implications for policymakers, practitioners, and scholars. They highlight the need for greater recognition and support for community leaders, who play a critical role in addressing local needs and fostering civic engagement. They also underscore the importance of community organization as a mechanism for citizen participation, particularly in marginalized areas. By supporting these efforts, governments can help to ensure that the voices of all citizens are heard, even in the most challenging circumstances.

By shedding light on these issues, this research hopes to contribute to ongoing discussions about the future of democracy in Latin America. Strengthening citizen participation is not only essential for achieving equitable governance but also for fostering long-term social stability. As global crises become more frequent and complex, the need for resilient, community-driven governance models will become increasingly apparent. This study aims to highlight the ways in which grassroots activism and participatory democracy can serve as powerful tools for navigating political and economic uncertainty, ensuring that all citizens—regardless of socioeconomic status or geographic location—have a voice in shaping their collective future.

This study seeks to answer the following question: How do citizens activate participatory mechanisms during national crises? By exploring the experiences of community leaders, this research aims to provide an in-depth understanding of the ways civic engagement operates within crisis contexts and the function of grassroots organizations in amplifying marginalized voices. The findings will contribute to broader discussions on democratic resilience, institutional inclusivity, and the role of participatory governance in crisis management. Moreover, this study will offer policy recommendations on how state institutions can enhance and institutionalize community-led initiatives to build a more inclusive and responsive democratic framework.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research follows a qualitative framework within an interpretative paradigm that acknowledges the complexity of social dynamics, resisting rigid quantification. The phenomenological-hermeneutic method, influenced by Gadamer, was used to guide data collection, thematic categorization, and interpretation. This approach emphasizes lived experiences as crucial for understanding human interactions and the meanings embedded in social discourse.

Research Methodology

This study adopted a qualitative approach, rooted in the interpretive paradigm. The phenomenological hermeneutic method, proposed by Gadamer, was employed for data collection, categorization, and interpretation. This method emphasizes understanding life experiences as fundamental to comprehending human dynamics, focusing on the meanings embedded in language.

Participants and Selection Criteria

Six community leaders from Puno, Peru, were selected as participants. These leaders were chosen based on the following criteria: (a) recognition by their communities, (b) consistent involvement in citizen engagement mechanisms, and (c) participation in such mechanisms during the 2022 national crisis.

The study involved six prominent community leaders from Puno, selected based on the following criteria: (a) active roles in local leadership, (b) sustained engagement in participatory initiatives, and (c) direct experience with citizen involvement during the 2022 national crisis. Their insights offer valuable perspectives on democratic practices at the grassroots level.

Data Collection Techniques

In-depth interviews were conducted to explore the underlying meanings of the participants' experiences. The interviews focused on three key elements: (a) the expression of democracy within communities, (b) the role of community leaders as intermediaries between the people and government organizations, and (c) prospects for greater participation in representative democracy during crises.

Given the qualitative nature of the study, in-depth interviews were conducted. This method allowed for a deeper exploration of the underlying meanings in participants' experiences, facilitating a comprehensive understanding of civic engagement during crises. The interview framework covered topics such as the role of local leadership in democracy, the function of leaders as intermediaries between citizens and government, and potential strategies for enhancing participatory governance.

Procedure

The interpretation of the interviews followed the hermeneutic circle, involving preconception, the horizon of the author, fusion of horizons, and interrogation. This process allowed for a dynamic and comprehensive understanding of the data.

FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS

The findings revealed the importance of community organization as a means of exercising democracy. Community leaders emphasized the necessity of unity to address local needs and influence decision-making processes. The role of community leaders as the voice of the underserved was also highlighted, underscoring their importance in linking communities with state agencies.

The findings from the interviews with the community leaders revealed several key themes related to citizen participation during national crises. These themes are discussed below, structured around the application of the hermeneutic circle, which guided the interpretation of the data.

Community Organization as a Means of Exercising Democracy

One of the central findings was the critical role of community organization in addressing local needs, particularly when state institutions fail to respond effectively. Participants emphasized that organizing within communities is not just a reaction to state neglect but a proactive mechanism to ensure that the voices of the people are heard.

For instance, one leader (AS-5) stated:

"Sometimes state institutions don't come here to address our issues, so we have to come together. If the mountain won't come to Mohammed, then Mohammed must go to the mountain."

This metaphor highlights the necessity for communities to take initiative when external support is lacking. Another participant (AS-2) added:

"If we are not united as a people, our demands go unheard. It's like trying to move a mountain with a single stick. We need every member of the community to identify their needs, propose improvements, and present them to local authorities. That's what has worked for us."

These statements reflect a deep understanding of the importance of collective action. The leaders recognized that community organization is not just a tool for addressing immediate needs but also a way to strengthen democratic practices at the grassroots level. By organizing, communities can ensure that their demands are communicated to those in power, even in the absence of formal state intervention.

The findings also revealed that community organization often arises as a response to systemic neglect. When state institutions fail to address the needs of marginalized populations, communities are forced to rely on their own resources and leadership. This self-reliance, while born out of necessity, fosters a sense of empowerment and collective identity among community members. As one leader (AS-2) noted:

"When we unite, we become stronger. Our collective voice is harder to ignore."

This suggests that community organization is not just a survival mechanism but a form of resistance against systemic exclusion. It allows marginalized groups to assert their rights and demand accountability from those in power.

Community Leaders: The Voice of the Neglected

Another significant finding was the pivotal role of community leaders as intermediaries between the people and government institutions. Leaders are often seen as the bridge that connects the realities of everyday life with the formal structures of governance. They are not elected through formal processes but emerge organically based on their commitment to their communities and their ability to address local issues.

One leader (AS-1) explained:

"We are just like everyone else in our community—we face the same struggles and needs. But somehow, we've become the ones who speak for our people. When we go to the authorities, we fight tooth and nail because we know what our community needs."

This statement underscores the leaders' deep connection to their communities. They are not distant figures of authority but individuals who share the same struggles as their neighbors. This closeness allows them to articulate the needs of their communities with authenticity and urgency.

Another leader (AS-4) highlighted the practical role they play in problem-solving:

"When people have a problem, where do they go? They come to our homes because they know we are the link between their reality and those who can provide solutions. We're not miracle workers, but we know how to get things done."

This reflects the trust that community members place in their leaders. Leaders are seen as accessible and reliable figures who can navigate the complexities of state institutions to secure resources and solutions for their communities.

The findings also revealed that community leaders often operate in challenging environments, where resources are scarce, and state support is inconsistent. Despite these challenges, leaders remain committed to their roles, driven by a sense of responsibility and solidarity with their communities. As one leader (AS-6) noted:

"We know we're not part of the government, but we're here to represent our community's needs. As long as we can contribute, even in small ways, we'll keep fighting for a better future."

This sense of hope and determination is a recurring theme in the findings. Leaders see their work not just as a duty but as a way to build a better future for their families and communities.

Community Leadership: A Catalyst for Strengthening Democracy in Crisis

The research also highlighted the broader implications of community leadership for strengthening democracy, particularly in times of crisis. Leaders play a crucial role in fostering political consciousness and awareness

within their communities. By organizing and advocating for their needs, they help to build a more engaged and empowered citizenry.

One leader (AS-3) reflected on the challenges and rewards of their role: *"Gathering the needs of people who share the same space as you is difficult, but it's also hopeful. You feel like you're doing something meaningful—not just for your community but for the future. You're setting an example for others."*

This statement captures the dual nature of community leadership: it is both a burden and a source of hope. Leaders are acutely aware of the challenges they face, but they also see their work as a way to inspire others and contribute to long-term change.

The findings suggest that community leadership is essential for deepening the role of democratic institutions at the local level. Leaders help to bridge the gap between formal governance structures and the everyday realities of their communities. By doing so, they contribute to the development of a more inclusive and participatory democracy.

One leader (AS-6) emphasized the importance of their role in times of crisis: *"We're not part of the government, but we're here to represent our community's needs. Even if we can only contribute a little, we'll keep fighting for a better future."*

This reflects the resilience and determination of community leaders, who see their work as a way to build a more just and equitable society, even in the face of significant challenges.

Implications for Policy and Practice

The findings have important implications for policymakers and practitioners. First, they highlight the need for greater recognition and support for community leaders. These individuals play a critical role in addressing local needs and fostering civic engagement, yet they often operate without formal recognition or resources. Providing training, funding, and institutional support to community leaders could enhance their effectiveness and sustainability.

Second, the findings underscore the importance of community organization as a mechanism for citizen participation. State institutions should actively promote and support community organizing efforts, particularly in marginalized areas. This could include initiatives such as participatory budgeting, neighborhood assemblies, and other forms of direct democracy that empower citizens to take an active role in decision-making processes.

Finally, the research suggests that community leadership can serve as a model for strengthening democracy in times of crisis. By fostering political consciousness and awareness, leaders help to build a more engaged and empowered citizenry. This, in turn, contributes to the development of a more inclusive and participatory democracy.

CONCLUSION

The research highlights the critical role of community leaders and community organization in facilitating citizen participation during national crises. In times of crisis, when state institutions may be unable or unwilling to address the needs of marginalized communities, local leaders step in to fill the gap. They serve as the voice of the people, advocating for their needs and connecting them with resources and solutions.

The findings also underscore the importance of strengthening community leadership as a way to build a more inclusive and participatory democracy. By supporting community leaders and promoting community organizing efforts, policymakers can help to ensure that the voices of all citizens are heard, even in the most challenging circumstances.

The study raises important questions for future research, including the role of the state in supporting community leadership, the potential for participatory democracy in times of crisis, and the long-term impact of community organizing on democratic governance. These questions offer valuable opportunities for further exploration and reflection, as we continue to seek ways to build more just and equitable societies.

REFERENCES

- Bustillo-Castillejo, Maria; De La Espriella-Mendoza, Yesid; Machado-Licon, Jiborquis. (2021). Citizen Belonging: Case Study of Afro Communities in Cartagena de Indias, Colombia. *Información Tecnológica*, 32(4), 23–30.
- Canese De Estigarribia, Maria. (2019). Leadership, Participation, and Citizenship Construction in Popular Urbanizations of Asunción, Paraguay. *Acta Scientiarum. Human and Social Sciences*, 41(2), e46208.
- Choachi-Jaramillo, Andrés; Gutierrez-Unbe, Mariana; Galvis Martinez, Sebastian; Esteban Atchortúa, Fray. (2020). Participation and Local Development Planning in Medellín: Legal Feasibility of Citizen Participation Mechanisms at the Local Level. *El Ágora USB*, 20(1), 130–141.
- Corassin, María. (2006). The Roman Citizen in the Republic. *Projeto História*, 33(1), 271–287.
- Cruz González, Luis; Mballa Valentín, Louis. (2017). Mechanisms of Citizen Participation in Public Policies in Latin America. *Revista Políticas Públicas*, 10(1).
- Cruz Sánchez, Gloria; Maldonado Gonzalez, Ana; Bello Benavides, Laura. (2020). Mixed Paradigms: Interpretative and Critical in Studies on Climate Change. A Route to Co-Construct Social Resilience. *Le Revista De Investigación Educativa De La Rediech*, 11, 1–21.
- Dalaqua, Gustavo. (2016). Representative Democracy, Conflict, and Justice in J. S. Mill. *Disponios*, 13(2).
- Da Silva, Patricia. (2020). The Future of Democracy: A Defense of the Rules of the Game - Norberto Bobbio. *Revista Do Direito Público*, 16(3).
- Díaz-Bravo, Laura; Torruco-García, Uri; Martínez, Hernandez, Milfred; Varela-Ruiz, Margarita. (2013). The Interview, a Flexible and Dynamic Resource. *Investigación En Educación Médica*, 2(7), 162–167.
- Escamilla Cadena, Alberto; López De La Torre, René. (2021). Participatory Budgeting in Mexico City: Institutional Design Problems and Levels of Citizen Participation. *Sociológica*, 36(103), 85–118.
- Fernandez De Rota, Anton. (2020). What is Democracy? *Antropología Experimental*, 20, 93–118.
- Flick, U. (2007). *Introduction to Qualitative Research*. Madrid: Morata.
- Fuster Guillen, Dons. (2019). Qualitative Research: Phenomenological Hermeneutic Method. *Propósitos Y Representaciones*, 7(1), 201–229.
- Gadamer, Hans. (1998). *Truth and Method. Fundamentals of a Philosophical Hermeneutic*.
- Gómez, Cristina. (2014). Liberalism in the Novohispanic Insurgency: From the Constitutional Monarchy to the Republic, 1810-1814. *Secuencia*, 89, 9–26.
- Heidegger, Martin. (1951). *Being and Time*. Fondo De Cultura Económica.
- Hurtado, Francisco; Gonzalez, Claudia. (2019). Participatory Democracy as a Complement to Representative Democracy. *Ius Comitalis*, 2(4), 168–185.
- Hye, Q. M. A., & Lau, W. Y. (2018). Does Financial and Trade Liberalization Drive Private Investment in Pakistan?. *Asian Journal of Economics and Empirical Research*, 5(2), 112–120.
- Kierce, Marion. (2016). The Crisis of Representative Democracy in Brazil. *Cadernos Do Programa De Pós-Graduação Em Direito – Ppgdir./Ufrgs*, 11(2), 360–385.
- Jam, F. A., Haq, J. U., & Fatima, T. (2012). Psychological Contract and Job Outcomes: Mediating Role of Affective Commitment. *Journal of Educational and Social Research*, 2(4), 79–79.
- Lara, Sara. (2020). The Academic Diaspora in a Pedagogical University: Beyond the Numbers. *Revista De Investigación*, 44(99), 100–127.
- Marcio, Paulo. (2010). Representative Democracy and Participatory Democracy. *Revista Brasileira De Direitos Fundamentais & Justiça*, 4(13), 202–224.
- Martínez, Carolina. (2011). Sampling in Qualitative Research. Basic Principles and Some Controversies. *Ciência & Saúde Coletiva*, 17(3), 613–619.
- Mendieta, Giovane. (2015). Informants and Sampling in Qualitative Research. *Investigaciones Andina*, 17(30), 1148–1150.
- Miranda, Sorangela; Ortiz, Jorge. (2020). Research Paradigms: A Theoretical Approach to Reflect from the Field of Educational Research. *Ride Revista Iberoamericana Para La Investigación Y El Desarrollo Educativo*, 11(21), 1–18.
- Pandolfi, Fernanda. (2016). The Journey of D. Pedro I to Minas Gerais in 1831: Political Struggles in the Formation of the Constitutional Monarchy in Brazil. *Revista Brasileira De História*, 36(71).
- Rabasa, Emilio. (2020). Participatory Democracy, Response to the Crisis of Representative Democracy. *Cuestiones Constitucionales Revista Mexicana De Derecho Constitucional*, 1(43), 351–376.
- Ramos, Carlos. (2015). Research Paradigms. *Advances in Psychology*, 23(1), 9–17.
- Reyes, German; Hernandez, Oscar; González, Fábio. (2019). Community Leadership and Its Influence on Society as an Improvement of the Rural Environment. *Revista Innova Rítip*, 5(1), 15–27.
- Ricoy, Carmen. (2006). Contribution on Research Paradigms. *Educação. Revista Do Centro De Educação*, 31(1), 11–22.
- Rodríguez, Karla. (2015). Democracy and Types of Democracy. *Universidad Autónoma De Nuevo León, México*, 49–66.
- Rodríguez, Manuel. (2010). Interpretation Methods, Hermeneutics, and Natural Law. *Dikaion*, 19(20), 319–347.
- Rosales, Jose; Alvarado, Ana. (2022). Remote Educational Process During the Pandemic. A Construction from the Feelings of Migrant Mothers. *Revista De Investigación*, 106(46), 76–99.

- Schuetz, Alfred. (1953). Common-Sense and Scientific Interpretation in Human Action. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, 1(14), 1–38.
- Taylor, Steven; Bogdán, Robert. (1987). Introduction to Qualitative Research Methods. *The Search for Meanings*. Paidós.
- Viveros, Edison. (2019). Dialogue as Fusion of Horizons in Gadamer's Hermeneutic Understanding. *Perseitas*, 7(2), 341–354.
- Welp, Yanina; Schneider, Cecilia. (2012). Origins and Contradictions of Institutional Citizen Participation: Analysis of Experiences in Buenos Aires, Montevideo, Barcelona, and Zurich. *Revista Mexicana De Ciencias Políticas Y Sociales*, 56(211).