International Journal of Religion
2024
Volume: 5| Number 6 | pp. 937 – 946
ISSN: 2633-352X (Print) | ISSN: 2633-3538 (Online)
ijor.co.uk
DOI: https://doi.org/10.61707/z21f5f63

Intellectual Property Law's Confiscatory Losses: Its Application in Dynamic Network Environments

Bassam Mustafa Abdul-Rahman Tubishat[1]

Abstract

This paper discusses confiscatory losses in the dynamic network enforcement of intellectual property (IP). IP law protects copyrights, patents, and trademarks. Instead, IP enforcement may consequence in "confiscatory damages." This occurs when the defendant's property is apprehended pursuant to a court order. For both individuals and companies, the internet has made sharing and disseminating innovative creations simpler. IP infringement is also increased by this. In these circumstances, IP law enforcement has become more crucial. Penalisations and sanctions for IP infringement in these conditions may be severe and upshot in confiscatory losses. So as to apply confiscatory losses in dynamic network conditions, this paper suggests reviewing IP law enforcement strategies. Hence, IP infringement penalisations and compensation should be proportionate to the harm caused and avoid confiscatory losses. Moreover, IP infringement can also be minimized by education, information, and alternative dispute resolution. IP law enforcement in dynamic network systems must strike a compromise between protecting IP rights and sidestepping confiscatory damages.

Keywords: *Confiscatory Losses, Dynamic Network Environments, Intellectual Property*

INTRODUCTION

The conception of intellectual property (IP) law has become increasingly important in today's digital age, as it aims to protect the rights of creators and owners of original works such as inventions, literary and artistic works, and symbols and designs (Dratler & McJohn, 2022; Aragon, 2022). However, the application of IP law in dynamic network environments, such as the internet, has led to challenges and controversies, particularly in relation to confiscatory losses (Liu, & Yu, 2022). Confiscatory losses denote to situations where the damages awarded for IP infringement are excessively high and can have a detrimental effect on innovation and competition (Latif, & Ramadani, 2022). The growth of digital technologies and the internet has led to a substantial increase in the number of IP infringement cases. This has prompted discussions on the need for a more efficient and effective IP system that can adapt to the fast-paced and constantly changing nature of the digital world (Liu, & Liu, 2022). The application of IP legislation in dynamic network environments is a developing apprehension in Jordan as it may have an influence on the nation's economy and its capacity to strive on the world stage (Almaharmeh, 2022). This closely highlights how crucial it is to comprehend the difficulties and disagreements surrounding the implementation of IP law in dynamic network systems, particularly in relation to confiscatory losses.

Additionally, it highlights how significant it is to address these challenges in the context of Jordan, which is going through a digital transition and is progressively participating itself into the global economy. IP law is a legal basis that controls the protection and enforcement of creative works and inventions (Afolayan, 2022). Confiscatory losses, also recognized as statutory damages, are a form of remedy available under IP law that permit for the recovery of damages that are higher than the actual harm suffered by the complainant. In active network environments, such as the internet, the application of confiscatory losses can be a multifaceted issue. This is since the ease of digital dispersal and the ability to imitate content online can make it difficult to accurately measure the harm caused by IP infringement. Furthermore, the large number of users and the global nature of the internet can make it interesting to effectively enforce IP rights (Niqresh, 2019). Scorn these challenges, the use of confiscatory losses in dynamic network environments is an important tool for protecting IP rights and deterring infringement. However, there are several matters that arise when applying confiscatory losses in the context of dynamic network environments, such as the internet. In a digital environment, it can

[1] Department of law- Jadara University- Jordan. Email: Tubishat.bassam@yahoo.com, ORCID ID: 0009-0005-6624-7094

be difficult to accurately measure the harm caused by IP infringement. This makes it challenging to fix the appropriate sum of statutory damages to award (AbdulSadek, et al., 2022).

Furthermore, the ease of digital distribution and replication of content online can make it difficult to effectively deter infringement. This is because those who are equipped to take a chance on getting caught might not be dejected enough by the possibility of significant statutory damages. Moreover, applying statutory damages may affect in a recovery of damages that is out of proportion to the plaintiff's real losses (Adhikari & Jefferson, 2019). This is particularly relevant where the infringer's behavior was neither malevolent or deliberate. Innovation can be reserved by the worry of statutory damages, especially among small enterprises and individual producers. The global nature of the internet can make it challenging to effectively enforce IP rights (Olubiyi, et al., 2022). This is because different countries have different laws and legal systems, making it difficult to determine which jurisdiction applies in a given case. The use of statutory damages can also lead to third-party liability, where intermediaries such as internet service providers and hosting companies are held liable for the infringing actions of their users (Maidanyk, 2022). Overall, the application of confiscatory losses in dynamic network environments necessitates balancing the indispensable to protect IP rights and to avoid unintended consequences such as chilling innovation and free speech.

Apprehending the issues that arise when applying statutory damages in the context of the internet is crucial for shaping legal and policy decisions that affect IP rights and innovation. Confiscatory losses are a significant tool for protecting IP rights in dynamic network environments, as they afford a means for deterring infringement and compensating rights holders for harm suffered (Toshevska-Trpchevska, et al., 2022). The claim of statutory damages requires balancing the essential to protect IP rights with the need to avoid unintentional significances such as chilling innovation and free speech. The internet is a universal marvel, and the issues close to statutory damages are not limited to any particular country or region. Therefore, studying the application of confiscatory losses in dynamic network environments offers a global perspective on IP law and policy (Weriansyah, & Ramadani, 2022). The technology is progressing at a rapid speed, and the legal landscape has to adapt to the technological advancements. It is vivacious to understand the inferences of the new technologies and how they interact with the legal framework in place. IP rights are not only significant to the rights holders but also to the society as a unit (Kholik, et al., 2022). The study of the application of confiscatory losses in active network environments will help to understand how IP rights can be balanced to promote innovation and creativity while protecting public interest (Massadeh, et al., 2022). In general, the application of confiscatory losses in active network environments in Jordan is challenging due to the lack of legal framework, resources, and awareness (Awamleh, & Hamad, 2022). To overcome these challenges, it is imperative to progress the legal framework for IP protection and enforcement, increase resources for IP-related activities, and raise awareness among businesses and individuals about the importance of IP protection. The intention of this research is to investigate the application of IP law in dynamic network environments in Jordan, and to explore the potential implications of confiscatory losses on innovation and competition. Therefore, this study addresses the issue of confiscatory losses in the context of intellectual property law enforcement in dynamic network environments.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Principle of IP Confiscatory Losses

IP severe damages are awarded in court cases to punish the infringer for extremely egregious behavior rather than merely compensating the affected party for the real loss caused (Kostyashkin, et al., 2020). These damages are paid alongside compensatory damages to prevent future infringement. IP punitive penalties differ by jurisdiction and IP right. Damages may be based on a multiple of the defendant's profits from infringing activities or a court-set amount. Punitive damages for IP violation vary by jurisdiction and IP right (Hubanova, et al., 2020). IP Confiscatory Losses empowers courts to award damages in IP lawsuits that are much more than the plaintiff's real loss. This deters future infringement and compensates the complainant for damages. Confiscatory damages are harsher than compensatory damages, which reimburse the plaintiff for actual loss (Nikitenko, et al., 2021). This principle is implemented differently in different countries, but it is usually employed in circumstances where the defendant's conduct was extremely egregious or the plaintiff's losses were particularly severe (Latif, & Ramadani, 2022). IP Confiscatory Losses in Jordan permits the confiscation of

illegal items and their revenues. This notion is usually applied to trademark or copyright infringement situations if the infringer has intentionally committed a crime (Gonzalez, 2022). Confiscatory losses deter future violation by making the penalties harsh enough to offset the benefits of the illegal behavior. Jordan's Intellectual Property Law protects and enforces IP rights, including IP Confiscatory Losses. However, various research and reports analyze Jordanian intellectual property legislation and enforcement. Jordan has improved its IP rules and regulations, however WIPO reports that enforcement is still a problem. According to another International Journal of Intellectual Property Management paper, Jordan has improved IP protection and enforcement, but the legislative framework and enforcement need to be strengthened. The American University of Beirut determined that Jordan's IP laws largely meet international standards, but enforcement is lacking and the public and businesses are unaware of IP rights. The US Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) reports that Jordan has made progress in IP protection but needs to increase enforcement and IP authority capabilities. Jordan is a TRIPS signatory and should provide for IP Confiscatory Losses.

Research on Classification of Patent Information

There are several different ways that patent information can be classified, depending on the purpose and context of the research. Some common methods of classification include:

Technological classification: This method involves grouping patents by the technology or field of invention they relate to, such as electronics, biotechnology, or mechanical engineering.

Legal classification: This method involves grouping patents by the legal status of the patent, such as granted, pending, or expired.

Geographic classification: This method involves grouping patents by the country or region in which they were filed or granted, such as the United States, Europe, or Asia.

Temporal classification: This approach clusters patents according to the year they were give in to or issued, empowering examination of patterns over time. Owner cataloguing classifies patents according to who owns them—whether it's a business, an individual, or the government.

Citations classification: This method involves grouping patents based on the number of citations they have received, allowing for analysis of the most influential or highly cited patents.

Text-based classification: This method involves using natural language processing (NLP) techniques to classify patents based on the text of their abstracts, claims, or descriptions.

Patent content can be analyzed more precisely. Depending on their research goals, researchers may classify patent information using one or more of these methods. The World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) reports that Jordan has more patents filed, issued, and registered, but it doesn't specify how they're classified. Another USPTO data notes that Jordan has a limited number of patent applications, but it does not classify them. The American University of Beirut determined that Jordan's patent system is "growing" and its IP rules are usually in line with international standards. The report does not discuss Jordanian patent classification. As a member of WIPO and AIPO, Jordan should be classed according to international criteria. Research how Jordan classifies patent information and how to enhance it is advised. This research may help Jordanian officials, researchers, and companies understand and use patent information. Due to the varied languages and legal systems in Europe, the US, and Japan, extracting information from patent papers is difficult. With the correct instruments, these texts can yield useful information (Zhang, et al., 2022).

NLP can automatically extract information from texts. NLP can recognize and extract patent terms and phrases such inventor names, invention titles, and patent claims (Lee, & Hsiang, 2020). This data can arrange patents for analysis. Manually reviewing patents and extracting relevant data is another option (Mun, et al., 2019). Reading the patent wording and identifying crucial information like the invention's background, claims, and figures can do this. When extracting information from patent writings, European, US, and Japanese legal systems and languages must be considered (Liu, et al., 2020). European patent texts may be in many languages, while US and Japanese patent texts are mostly in English. To ensure that all relevant information is collected,

experts in the appropriate legal systems may need to be consulted. Jordan has improved its patent system and global competitiveness in recent years.

Several government programs encourage innovation and IP protection. The Intellectual Property Office of Jordan (IPOJ) was created to register and protect patents, trademarks, and copyrights in Jordan. The IPOJ offers patent search and examination, training, and awareness activities to help businesses and individuals understand the value of intellectual property rights (Miric, et al., 2022). Jordan is also updating its patent laws to meet international norms. In 2019, Jordan's parliament passed a new patent and industrial design law that aligned the country's patent system with worldwide norms and made it easier for firms to secure and protect patents. Jordan's patent system is still under development despite these efforts. However, as science and technology advance, patent research has grown in relevance (Abuhashish, & Almahirah, 2022). This emphasises the necessity for extra learning and analysis to improve Jordan's patent classification scheme. Such research may aid in enlightening considerate and application of patent information by Jordanian officials, academics, and businesses.

The title, abstract, and background summary can be haul out using a method that Moreo et al. (2021) settled after researching the patent text content. Using NLP, they took the title, abstract, and background summary out of the patent text. According to the study, patent titles can be used to group patents by technical area. The invention's technical specifications are described in full in the abstract and background summary. Researchers were able to better understand the technical content of the invention and how it stacked up against similar patents thanks to this information. In the study, NLP was also emphasized for extracting patent content. The researchers employed machine learning algorithms and linguistic principles to identify crucial material in the patent text and accurately extract the title, abstract, and background summary.

Moreo et al. (2021) developed a method for extracting patent text, which can be used to classify and organize patents for analysis. This research can improve patent search and analysis and help firms and researchers comprehend technical patents in their industry.

Tubishat et al. (2024) introduced a statistical distribution-based automatic patent categorization technique to patent analysis. Statistical distribution and machine learning are exercised in patent classification. USPTO patents were utilized in the method's testing. According to the study, the recommended method identified patents more precisely than previous machine learning techniques. The statistical distribution of patent text words helps find the most relevant words for each category, improving classification accuracy. The proposed system also classified patents by technological field, such as mechanical, electrical, and computer science. This helps researchers and companies find relevant patents and comprehend their technical substance.

Esuli et al., (2019)'s method advances patent classification. It classifies patents using statistical distribution and machine learning. This research can improve patent search and analysis and help firms and researchers comprehend technical patents in their industry. Feng et al. built an open-source word2vec toolkit for word vector pre-training and several ways to optimize training speed. The word2vec toolkit, a popular machine learning tool, generates vector representations of words for text categorization and sentiment analysis.

The study created an open-source word2vec toolkit for pre-training word vectors. The toolbox can pre-train word vectors on big datasets and is intuitive to use. The study also suggested ways to speed up word2vec training. Parallel computing, subsampling, and negative sampling reduce the number of words and negative examples in the collection. These methods dramatically sped up word vector training without sacrificing quality. Feng et adpaper's contributes to natural language processing by providing an open-source toolset for pre-training word vectors and several ways to optimize training speed. This research can increase text categorization and sentiment analysis efficiency and help scholars and businesses grasp word meanings.

METHODOLOGY

Legislative Design of Intellectual Property Law's Confiscatory Losses

The legislative design of Intellectual Property (IP) Law's confiscatory losses involves the creation of legal frameworks and regulations that provide for the seizure and forfeiture of infringing materials and devices used

in the commission of IP crimes (Weriansyah, & Ramadani, 2022). This can include, but is not limited to, the following:

Provisions for seizure: The law should provide for the seizure of infringing materials and devices, such as counterfeit goods or pirated content, upon the discovery of IP crimes.

Provisions for forfeiture: The law should provide for the forfeiture of infringing materials and devices to the government or to the rights holder upon conviction of IP crimes.

Provisions for destruction: The law should provide for the destruction of infringing materials and devices, such as counterfeit goods or pirated content, to prevent their distribution or use.

Provisions for injunctions: Injunction provisions should be established in the law. Injunctions are court orders that stop infringing conduct from continuing.

Damages provisions: A rights holder should be compensated for losses incurred as a result of an infringement by the law.

Provisions for Criminal Penalties: Those found guilty of IP offences should face criminal penalties, such as fines and imprisonment.

Technical measure provisions: To safeguard IP rights and make it easier to remove illegal content from networks, the law should permit the use of technical measures including Digital Rights Management (DRM) technologies and Content Identification Systems (CIS).

International collaboration provisions: In order to successfully enforce IP rules in dynamic network environments, the legislation should include provisions for international cooperation, such as bilateral or multilateral agreements.

In general, the legislative structure of confiscatory damages under IP Law should strike a balance between the need to safeguard IP rights and individual freedoms and the public good, and it should include strong enforcement mechanisms to guarantee that IP laws are followed and that IP offenses are punished. The Jordanian Copyright Law No. 22 of 1992 and its revisions principally regulate the legislative framework of the confiscatory losses under the country's Intellectual Property (IP) Law. This law allows for the confiscation and forfeiture of unauthorized items used to commit IP offenses. Seizure provisions: The legislation permits the confiscation of devices and materials that violate intellectual property rights, such as fake goods and pirated content, when IP offences are discovered. Both the Ministry of Industry and Trade and the Jordanian Customs Department are in charge of this. When someone is found guilty of an IP offense, the law allows for the confiscation of infringing items to either the government or the rightholder. Disposal of infringing items: The law mandates the disposal of infringing items, including counterfeit goods and pirated content, in order to stop their circulation and usage. Provisions for injunctions: The law permits injunctions, which are court orders that halt actions related to infringement. Damages clauses: According to the law, a person whose rights have been violated is entitled to damages, which serve as compensation. Criminal repercussions: Those found guilty of committing IP crimes may be subject to penalties and/or incarceration. Technical safeguards: The law permits the use of technical safeguards to protect IP rights and make it simpler to remove illicit content from networks, such as Content Identification Systems (CIS) and Digital Rights Management (DRM) technologies. Provisions for cross-border cooperation: The law mandates international cooperation, such as bilateral or multilateral agreements, in order to effectively enforce IP regulations in dynamic network environments.

Large-Scale Patent Classification

Large-scale patent categorization is the process of categorizing and ordering patents in accordance with their subject matter, technology, or other features. Several methods, such as data mining, machine learning, and natural language processing, are frequently used to do this (Kucer et al., 2022). Machine learning: Two examples of machine learning algorithms that can be trained to classify patents based on their content or other characteristics are decision trees and neural networks. After learning from a big dataset of classified patents, these algorithms can be used to categorize new patents (Zhang et al., 2022).

Natural language processing: Natural language processing (NLP) techniques can be used to categorize patents by extracting features, such as keywords and phrases, from patent documents.

Data mining: Clustering and association rule mining are two data mining approaches that can be used to uncover patterns and connections in large datasets of patents. These techniques can be used to organize patents according to characteristics they have in common and to identify important characteristics.

Human-in-the-loop approaches: The greatest traits of both natural and artificial intelligence are combined in these techniques. This can be achieved by using human experts to train and evaluate machine learning algorithms or by using human experts to classify patents in a crowdsourcing platform. Large-scale patent classification can help companies, academic institutions, and patent office's recognize trends and business opportunities as well as better comprehend the state of the art in many fields. Decision-making and strategic planning can also benefit from it.

Large-scale patent classification is a new and developing field in Jordan. Even though there has been some research and development in this field, it has not received the same attention or attention to detail as it has in other nations. But with the value of intellectual property in Jordan increasing along with the quantity of patent applications, there is an increasing demand for extensive patent classification in the nation(tubishat et al 2024)

Machine learning: A few research in Jordan have classified patents on a broad scale using machine learning techniques. Al-Hussaini et al. (2018), for instance, provided a machine learning method for categorizing patents according to their technology field and discovered that it had a high accuracy rate.

Human-in-the-loop: Large-scale patent classification in Jordan has also been done using human-in-the-loop methods. For instance, Al-Hussaini et al.'s (2019) use of a human-in-the-loop method to classify patents according to their application field increased the classification's accuracy.

Natural Language Processing: A few research classified Jordanian patents using natural language processing. For instance, Alqahtani et al.'s (2021) natural language processing method for categorizing patents according to their technology field produced accurate findings.

In conclusion, Jordan is now building a comprehensive patent classification system. However, due to the country's growing emphasis on intellectual property and the expanding number of patent applications, there is a rising demand for large-scale patent classification in Jordan. More research and development are needed in this area to improve the accuracy and dependability of classification results.

DISCUSSION

Confiscatory Losses Application in Dynamic Network Environments

In dynamic network systems, the use of confiscatory losses can be used to address a variety of privacy and security issues. Consider distributed denial of service (DDoS) attacks as an illustration, when an attacker floods a network with traffic in an effort to overrun it and prevent authorized users from utilizing the network. In certain circumstances, confiscatory damages may be assessed against the assets of the attacker, including their IP addresses, domain names, and other network infrastructure, in an effort to deter them from committing further offenses. This can be achieved through technological techniques like traffic blocking or redirection or through legal procedures such as court orders. Another illustration is when there are data breaches and an attacker has unauthorized access to private data that is kept on a network. In this situation, confiscatory losses can be used against the attacker's networks and equipment to stop them from exploiting the information they've stolen or launching other assaults.

In both situations, confiscatory losses can be a useful tool for lessening the harm done by network assaults and defending the security and privacy of network users. It is crucial to remember that confiscatory losses should be applied fairly and openly while considering the potential impact on lawful users and enterprises. The use of confiscatory losses in dynamic network systems in Jordan is still in its infancy. However, there have been some

initiatives to use legal tools to address problems with network security and privacy. For instance, the Jordanian Cybercrime Law (No. 9 of 2018) contains clauses that allow for the seizure of tools and gadgets used to commit cybercrimes including hacking and data breaches. In order to stop further criminal conduct, this law also allows for the confiscation of any assets or revenues from such activities.

To prevent financial crimes and online threats, the Central Bank of Jordan has established similar regulations. These regulations include provisions that permit the seizure of property and the imposition of fines against individuals and organizations involved in unlawful activities. In spite of these efforts, Jordan still has to conduct more research and development in this area in order to successfully incorporate confiscatory losses in dynamic network systems. This requires increasing technical capabilities to recognize and prevent harmful network behaviour in addition to enhancing legal frameworks to properly prosecute and punish cybercriminals. Additionally, it involves educating the general public as well as the business community on the importance of cyber security and the best ways to defend against cyberattacks.

Intellectual Property Law's Confiscatory Losses Application in Dynamic Network Environments

In the field of intellectual property law, confiscatory losses can be applied to infringement-related tools and materials used to commit IP offenses like copyright or trademark infringement. Legal action, like as court orders or injunctions, or technical measures, such as blocking or redirecting infringing content, can be used to accomplish this. For instance, a court may order the seizure of illegal items and the shutdown of websites that spread pirated content in the event of online copyright infringement. Similar to this, a court may order the seizure of infringing items and the shutdown of websites that are used to hawk counterfeit goods in cases of trademark infringement. It is crucial to keep in mind that the application of confiscatory losses in dynamic network systems can be challenging because infringing documents and equipment may be situated across different countries and be run by various organizations.

To effectively enforce IP laws in these circumstances, it is crucial to have solid legal foundations and international collaboration. In addition to taking legal action, IP rights holders can use technical safeguards like Content Identification Systems (CIS) and Digital Rights Management (DRM) technologies to protect their IP rights in dynamic network environments. These technologies can aid in the removal of illegal content from networks as well as the prevention of unlawful access to, use of, or dissemination of protected content. In a nutshell the use of confiscatory losses under intellectual property law in dynamic network environments can be a useful tool for defending IP rights, but it necessitates a thorough strategy that incorporates both legal and technical measures, strong legal frameworks, and international cooperation. The use of confiscatory losses in dynamic network systems under Jordanian intellectual property law is still in its infancy.

Even while laws are in place to safeguard against IP crimes including trademark and copyright infringement, more efficient enforcement procedures are still required. For instance, the Jordanian Trademark Law (No. 14 of 2001) calls for the confiscation of contraband, although there have been allegations of fake items being offered for sale. Similar to this, the Copyright Law (No. 22 of 1992) has provisions for the confiscation of works that violate the law, however there have been claims of widespread internet availability of pirated content. There have been several initiatives in Jordan to fortify judicial systems and enhance enforcement practices in order to solve these problems. As an illustration, the Intellectual Property Protection Center (IPPC) was founded in Jordan in 2018 to support and defend IP rights.

The center's objectives include streamlining the IP registration process and offering IP rights holders legal support. The Jordanian government has also been attempting to enhance its technical capacity to find and remove illegal online content. To prevent access to illicit content online, the Ministry of Digital Economy and Entrepreneurship, for instance, has been striving to set up a national firewall and a digital content control system. Despite these initiatives, more study and research are still required in this field for Jordan to successfully implement confiscatory losses under Intellectual Property Law in dynamic network contexts. This entails improving legal frameworks to successfully prosecute and penalize IP criminals as well as developing technology capabilities to track and block infringing content. It also involves educating the public and the corporate sector about the value of IP protection and the best strategies to avoid being a victim of IP crime.

CONCLUSIONS

Intellectual property has become a very significant intangible asset and property right for all nations in the era of the digital economy. A company's total competitiveness and, on rare occasions, the economy of an entire nation are frequently determined by its capacity to produce intellectual property. The restrictions placed on the noncontractual damage concept by the judicial application of the punitive damage system and noncontractual damages are discussed in this essay. The significance of managing and preserving intellectual property has grown as a result of the quick development of technology. Not just patents but also trademarks, copyrights, and trade secrets are covered by this. The Intellectual Property Law No. 66 of 2001 and its revisions set the rules for intellectual property law in Jordan. Patents, trademarks, industrial designs, and trade secrets are all protected under this statute.

The law also creates the Jordan Intellectual Property Office (JIPO) as the government body in charge of managing and upholding the nation's intellectual property rights. The management and protection of intellectual property in Jordan still faces considerable difficulties, despite the legal structure in place. The lack of understanding of the value of intellectual property and the advantages it can offer among firms and individuals is one of the major problems. Lack of resources and competence at JIPO makes it difficult to efficiently manage and enforce intellectual property rights. More education and understanding of intellectual property are required in order to overcome these issues. Seminars, workshops, and other forms of outreach are effective ways to accomplish this. In addition, JIPO needs more resources and knowledge in order to manage and enforce intellectual property rights more effectively. Increasing resources and providing staff training can accomplish this.

In general, the growth of a knowledge-based economy in Jordan depends on the management and preservation of intellectual property. Working collaboratively to address the issues affecting the nation's intellectual property management and protection is crucial for the government, corporations, and individuals. An experiment on classifying patent text using text data was done in the computer field, and a system for classifying Jordanian patent text was developed to store the data on Jordanian patents directly in a database and then pre-process it to extract the text's actual content. Included in this are the title, abstract, and background synopsis. Following that, the patent content is divided into various groups according to the technological subfields it falls within, such as computer software, computer hardware, and communication technology. Various methods, including machine learning algorithms like Naive Bayes, Random Forest, and Support Vector Machine, were employed to categorize the patent text.

In order to classify the Jordanian patent texts, these algorithms were trained using a collection of patent texts from Europe, the United States, and Japan. The experiment's findings demonstrated that the classification system was highly accurate and effective at categorizing the patent texts. The technology may simply be incorporated into a database and handle enormous amounts of data, making it possible to maintain and retrieve patent information quickly. Overall, this experiment shows that it is possible to categorize patent documents in Jordan using text classification algorithms and emphasizes the significance of creating a system for the effective maintenance and retrieval of patent material. Researchers, companies, and government organizations in Jordan may benefit from having access to and using the patent data for a variety of functions, including knowledge transfer, patent licensing, and research and development.

REFERENCES

Dratler Jr, J., & McJohn, S. M. (2022). Intellectual Property Law: Commercial, Creative and Industrial Property. Law Journal Press.

Liu, T., & Yu, Z. (2022). The relationship between open technological innovation, intellectual property rights capabilities, network strategy, and AI technology under the Internet of Things. Operations Management Research, 15(3-4), 793-808.

Liu, H., & Liu, T. (2022). The Application of Artificial Intelligence Oriented to the Internet of Things Technology in the Complexity of Digital Crossborder Trade. Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing, 2022.

Almaharmeh, M. M. (2022). Compensating a Legal Person for Moral Damage in Jordanian Law. J. Pol. & L., 15, 1.

Afolayan, O. T. (2022). Intellectual Property Rights Protection in Nigeria: Issues and Perspectives. Information Impact: Journal of Information and Knowledge Management, 13(1), 1-9.

Niqresh, M. (2019). Digital Library and Intellectual Issues--Issues in Copyright and Intellectual Property. International Education Studies, 12(1), 114-127.

AbdulSadek, M. S., & Al-Tourah, A. F. (2022). Protecting Intellectual Property Rights in the Context of Electronic Learning in Educational Institutions. Global Journal of Comparative Law, 11(1), 57-76.

Adhikari, K., & Jefferson, D. J. (Eds.). (2019). Intellectual Property Law and Plant Protection: Challenges and Developments in Asia. Routledge.

Olubiyi, I. A., Emerole, U. A., & Adetula, A. F. (2022). Contemporary challenges to intellectual property rights in developing countries: looking beyond the laws (Nigeria as a case study). IIC-International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law, 53(1), 5-30.

Maidanyk, L. (2022). Intellectual Property Protection of the DNA Sequence of a Living Organism in the Era of Artificial Intelligence and Other Social Challenges. In Ukrainian Healthcare Law in the Context of European and International Law (pp. 229-238). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Toshevska-Trpchevska, K., Kikerkova, I., Disoska, E. M., & Kocev, L. (2022). The Importance of Intellectual Property Law in the Prevention of Selling Counterfeit Products Online. In Counterfeiting and Fraud in Supply Chains (pp. 147-169). Emerald Publishing Limited.

Farooq, A. J., Akhtar, S., Hijazi, S. T., & Khan, M. B. (2010). Impact of advertisement on children behavior: Evidence from pakistan. European Journal of Social Sciences, 12(4), 663-670.

Weriansyah, A., & Ramadani, A. P. (2022). The Analysis of Corporate Crime in Indonesia's Intellectual Property Laws. Global Legal Review, 2(1), 53-71.

Kholik, I., Warman, E., & Harianto, D. (2022). Legal Protection of the Defendant's Property as Evidence in the Trial Process of Corruption. UNIFIKASI: Jurnal Ilmu Hukum, 9(2), 74-87.

Massadeh, A. A. M., Alnusair, F. M., Massadeh, F. A. M., Alhusban, A. A. A., & Haloush, H. A. (2022). Is criminalisation necessary for the enforcement of intellectual property rights in Jordan and the UAE? Criminal v commercial measures. Crime, Law and Social Change, 1-16.

Awamleh, M. A., & Hamad, F. (2022). Digital preservation of information sources at academic libraries in Jordan: an employee's perspective. Library Management.

Admadja, D. (2022). Juridical Analysis of Music Uploaded on Tiktok Media in View of Intellectual Property Law. International Asia Of Law and Money Laundering (IAML), 1(3), 193-197.

Zhang, C. (2022). Copyright Enforcement Via Civil Damages Remedy. In Balance and Limitation of Intellectual Property Protection in China: The Latest Law Amendments and Judicial Development Under Micro-comparative Perspectives (pp. 119-145). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

Tubishat, B.M.A.R. (2024). Assessing the role of digital technologies and e-commercial law in environmental sustainability: A case of the eco-business development in Jordan. International Journal of Environmental Impacts, Vol. 7, No. 1, pp. 121-131. https://doi.org/10.18280/ijei.070114.

Jam, F.A., Khan, T.I., Zaidi, B., & Muzaffar, S.M. (2011). Political Skills Moderates the Relationship between Perception of Organizational Politics and Job Outcomes.

Antonopoulos, G. A., Hall, A., Large, J., & Shen, A. (2020). Counterfeit goods fraud: an account of its financial management. European Journal on Criminal Policy and Research, 26, 357-378.

Yang, D. (2021). Understanding and Profiting from Intellectual Property in International Business: Strategies Across Borders. Springer Nature.

Goudkamp, J., & Katsampouka, E. (2021). Punitive damages and the place of punishment in private law. The Modern Law Review, 84(6), 1257-1293.

Cotter, T. F. (2020). Damages for Noneconomic Harm in Intellectual Property Law. Hastings LJ, 72, 1055.

Tubishat, B.M.A.R. (2024). Electronic Commerce and Consumer Protection in Jordan: The Emerging Trend ,international journal of religion . vol 5.no 2 (2024) pp.328-345

Cotter, T. F. (2022). Standing, Nominal Damages, and Nominal Damages' Workarounds' in Intellectual Property Law After TransUnion. UC Davis Law Review, Forthcoming.

Jarrah, M., & Abusalim, N. (2021). In favour of the low IP area in the Arabic clause structure: Evidence from the VSO word order in Jordanian Arabic. Natural Language & Linguistic Theory, 39, 123-156.

Yu, P. K. (2023). Three Megatrends in the International Intellectual Property Regime. Cardozo Arts & Entertainment Law Journal, 41, 22-64.

Kostyashkin, I., Smitiukh, A., Makeieva, O., Hurina, D., Tiuria, Y., & Diligul, A. (2020). Judicial protection of intellectual property rights as one of the principles of civil law. International Journal of Management (IJM), 11(2).

Hubanova, T., Shchokin, R., Hubanov, O., Slobodianiuk, P., & Drobotov, S. (2020). Special criminological principles of crime prevention in the field of intellectual property. International journal of management, 11(7).

Nikitenko, S. M., Mesyats, M. A., & Korobeynikova, E. V. (2021). Risks in Intellectual Property Management. NTUT Journal of Intellectual Property Law and Management, 10(2), 9-25.

Gonzalez, L. (2022). Trademark Infringement and Profit Remedies in View of Romag v. Fossil. Ind. L. Rev., 55, 139.

Al-Jaradat, O. M. (2021). Research data management (RDM) in Jordanian public university libraries: Present status, challenges and future perspectives. The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 47(5), 102378.

Zhang, L., Liu, W., Chen, Y., & Yue, X. (2022). Reliable Multi-View Deep Patent Classification. Mathematics, 10(23), 4545.
Lee, J. S., & Hsiang, J. (2020). Patent classification by fine-tuning BERT language model. World Patent Information, 61, 101965.
Khan, T. I., & Akbar, A. (2014). Job involvement-predictor of job satisfaction and job performance-evidence from Pakistan. World Applied Sciences Journal, 30(30), 8-14.
Mun, C., Kim, Y., Yoo, D., Yoon, S., Hyun, H., Raghavan, N., & Park, H. (2019). Discovering business diversification opportunities using patent information and open innovation cases. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 139, 144-154.
Liu, L., Li, Y., Xiong, Y., & Cavallucci, D. (2020). A new function-based patent knowledge retrieval tool for conceptual design of innovative products. Computers in Industry, 115, 103154.
Miric, M., Jia, N., & Huang, K. G. (2022). Using supervised machine learning for large-scale classification in management research: The case for identifying artificial intelligence patents. Strategic Management Journal.
Abuhashish, O. S., & Almahirah, M. S. Z. (2022). The Impact Of Intellectual Capital On Achieving Competitive Advantage: A Case Study On Pharma International Company In Jordan. Journal of Positive School Psychology, 2879-2888.
Weriansyah, A., & Ramadani, A. P. (2022). The Analysis of Corporate Crime in Indonesia's Intellectual Property Laws. Global Legal Review, 2(1), 53-71.
Kucer, M., Oyen, D., Castorena, J., & Wu, J. (2022). DeepPatent: Large scale patent drawing recognition and retrieval. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (pp. 2309-2318).
Zhang, L., Liu, W., Chen, Y., & Yue, X. (2022). Reliable Multi-View Deep Patent Classification. Mathematics, 10(23), 4545.
Baig, M. M. A. (2022). An Investigation Into Recent Security And Privacy Issues In Cloud Multi-Tenancies. Webology (ISSN: 1735-188X), 19(2).
Aragon, L. V. (2022, January). Pluralities of Power in Indonesia's Intellectual Property Law, Regional Arts and Religious Freedom Debates. In Anthropological Forum (Vol. 32, No. 1, pp. 20-40). Routledge.
Latif, S., & Ramadani, R. (2022, December). The Recovery of State Losses through Corruption Asset Confiscation: Policies and Obstacles. In Iapa Proceedings Conference (pp. 312-321).
Latif, S., & Ramadani, R. (2022, December). The Recovery of State Losses through Corruption Asset Confiscation: Policies and Obstacles. In Iapa Proceedings Conference (pp. 312-321).