The Convergence of Power: Exploring the Intersection between Politics, Communication, and Religion

Cintya Yadira Vera-Revilla[1], Ricardo Enrique Grundy-López[2], Ivonne Virginia Flores-Vilca[3], Lourdes Cecilia García-Toledo[4], Olger Albino Gutiérrez-Aguilar[5] and Aleixandre Brian Duche-Pérez[6]

Abstract

In the intricate fabric of our modern societies, the intersection between politics, communication, and religion emerges as an essential field of study for understanding the dynamics of power. This work analyzes the use of communication by politics and religion as a fundamental tool to shape opinions, legitimize authority, and manage cultural and social identity. Through an exhaustive examination of both historical and contemporary theories and practices, this paper illustrates the manipulation of media and messages in various contexts to influence public opinion and guide political and religious decisions. Additionally, it assesses the ability of religious communication to affect public policy and, reciprocally, how these interactions impact social cohesion, policy formulation, and governance at a global level. This interdisciplinary analysis exposes the complexity of power dynamics at the confluence of communication, politics, and religion, providing crucial insights into their influence on the shaping of contemporary societies.

Keywords: *Politics and Religion, Political Communication, Power Dynamics, Governance and Society, Public Opinion, Religion and Society*

INTRODUCTION

The study of the interactions between politics, communication, and religion is not only established as an indispensable field of research but also as a sphere of influence that transcends the conventional boundaries of power and persuasion in our societies. In this work, we aim to examine how these three forces intertwine to shape power structures and influence public opinion, as well as cultural and social identities.

Since time immemorial, politics and religion have served as sources of authority and guidance for societies. In the contemporary era, both domains have found communication a powerful means to expand their influence and reaffirm their presence in the daily lives of citizens (Djupe & Neiheisel, 2022). Propaganda, informational campaigns, and rhetoric are just a few of the communicative tools employed by political and religious leaders to consolidate their power and persuade the public about various agendas (Mapuweyi & Wozniak, 2018).

This analysis begins with a review of the historical evolution of political and religious communication, highlighting key moments when communication has been a fundamental medium for exercising power. We will discuss theories and practices from the era of ancient propaganda to the advanced digital campaigns of modernity. This historical perspective allows us to understand how communication techniques have evolved and how they have been adopted and adapted by politics and religion over time.

Delving into the core of the convergence between these spheres, we will explore how politics and religion use communication not only to inform and educate but also to shape ideologies and legitimize authority. We will examine specific cases that illustrate the interaction between media and political and religious strategies, analyzing how these interactions influence policy formulation and public perception.

[1] Universidad Católica de Santa María, Arequipa, Perú. Email: cvera@ucsm.edu.pe

[2] Universidad Católica de Santa María, Arequipa, Perú. Email: rgrundy@ucsm.edu.pe

[3] Universidad Católica de Santa María, Arequipa, Perú. Email: ifloresv@ucsm.edu.pe

[4] Universidad Católica de Santa María, Arequipa, Perú. Email: lgarciat@ucsm.edu.pe

[5] Universidad Católica de Santa María, Arequipa, Perú. Email: ogutierrez@ucsm.edu.pe
[6] Universidad Católica de Santa María, Arequipa, Perú. Email: aduche@ucsm.edu.pe

In the realm of religious communication, we will discuss how institutions have used various media not only to disseminate doctrines but also to foster community cohesion and actively participate in the public sphere. This segment will include an analysis of how modern technology, such as the internet and social networks, has revolutionized religious communication, extending its reach and deepening its impact on society.

We will also address the duality of religion as both a tool and a foundation of politics, providing examples of how religious beliefs have been and continue to be used to justify governmental policies and practices. This analysis will include a discussion on the role of religion in diplomacy and international conflicts, highlighting how religious leaders have acted as mediators and facilitators in times of crisis.

We will explore the manifestation of religion and politics in the public space, where communication plays a crucial role in how these influences are perceived and accepted by the population. The visibility of religious symbols and political rhetoric in public spaces will be examined as an expression of identity and power.

Furthermore, we will consider how the interaction between communication, politics, and religion influences the formulation of public policies. We will discuss how messages and narratives constructed through the media impact political decisions and how, in turn, these policies affect religious practices and social life in general.

Finally, this article will propose a theoretical framework for understanding the dynamics of power at the intersection of politics, communication, and religion, suggesting how future research could delve deeper into these intertwined fields of study. The conclusion will reiterate the importance of this analysis for understanding contemporary mechanisms of power and how they shape our societies at multiple levels.

Through this comprehensive examination, we seek to offer a holistic understanding of how the convergence of power in politics, communication, and religion shapes and is shaped by the social and cultural structures in which we live. This exploration not only enriches our academic understanding but also provides valuable insights for leaders, communicators, and citizens interested in the dynamics of power in contemporary society.

THE INTERSECTION OF POLITICS AND COMMUNICATION

The interaction between politics and communication constitutes a fundamental pillar for understanding the structure and exercise of power in any society. Communicative strategies transcend their function as mere vehicles of information; they are crucial tools for influencing, shaping public opinion, generating consensus, and legitimizing political actions. This section delves into the complex interactions between politics and communication, exploring how political actors employ various communicative techniques to consolidate and exercise their power.

Since its inception, communication has been instrumental in the political sphere. Harold Lasswell, in his 1927 analysis of propaganda, illustrated how states have used mass media as instruments of "opinion control," a practice that intensified during the world wars. Propaganda, conceived as the dissemination of information aimed at persuading and mobilizing the public toward specific objectives, represents one of the most evident examples of political communication in action.

With the evolution of media and society, new theories have emerged explaining the symbiotic relationship between the media and politics. The Agenda-Setting theory, proposed by McCombs & Shaw (1972), postulates that the media not only transmit news but also act as powerful entities that determine what issues are of public importance. By giving prominence to certain news over others, the media can shape political and social priorities, thus influencing the focus and perception of the audience.

Erving Goffman (1974) introduced the concept of framing, exploring how the media and political communicators present events and news in a way that structures the interpretation of these occurrences. Framing is especially relevant in politics, where the presentation of policies, crises, or decisions can radically alter public response.

In governmental practice, communication is used both to inform the public about government actions and to persuade them of the validity of its policies. Presidential speeches, press conferences, and public information

campaigns are tangible examples of how communication is fundamental not only for informing but also for shaping public opinion.

Electoral campaigns are another area where communication plays a critical role. Candidates and political parties invest significant resources in communication strategies designed to maximize their electoral appeal. From television ads to sophisticated social media campaigns, communication in electoral campaigns is a complex blend of persuasion, image, and rhetoric (Calfano, 2021).

Furthermore, social movements and activists use communication techniques to challenge the status quo, mobilize society toward specific causes, and press for legislative changes (Iancu & Balaban, 2009; Navarro, 2014). These communication efforts often seek to alter the public agenda, introducing new issues or redefining the debate around existing problems.

Understanding the theory and practice of political communication is essential for any contemporary political analysis. The ability to communicate effectively not only reflects the capability of a leader or party to inform but also their competence in shaping, directing, and, in some cases, manipulating public opinion. Ultimately, politics and communication are inextricably linked, each shaping and being shaped by the other in a continuous cycle of influence and power.

COMMUNICATION IN THE RELIGIOUS SPHERE

The interaction between religion and communication is a profound topic that spans the transmission of beliefs and their influence on behavior and social identity. Scheufele et al. (2003) emphasize how the multiple communicative channels used by religious institutions serve not only to inform or educate but also as strategic tools to influence behavior, shape identities, and engage with the public. This ability of religion to effectively use communication enables religious institutions to foster cohesion within their communities and play an active role in the public space. For example, the use of narratives that reinforce shared values can strengthen community ties and motivate collective participation in both religious and civic activities.

Within the structure of religious communication, preaching and teaching are essential, as indicated by Luhmann (2000), for whom religion acts as a social communicative system that perpetuates its beliefs and practices across generations. Sermons and teachings not only explain sacred texts but also promote a lifestyle that reflects specific values. This approach not only strengthens the internal bonds of the community but also promotes cohesion essential for group identity, making religious communication a transformative force for both individuals and communities.

The integration of new technologies has significantly expanded the reach and influence of religious communication. According to Hoover (2006), media such as television, radio, the internet, and social networks have become essential platforms for disseminating religious messages globally. These technologies allow religious organizations to overcome geographical barriers and connect with followers and supporters around the world, thus expanding their follower base and sociocultural impact.

Religious rituals and symbols, as noted by Eliade (1959), are also vital components of religious communication. These elements not only facilitate participation in the faith community but also serve as powerful vehicles for values and beliefs. Rituals and symbols condense and communicate complex doctrines in an accessible and tangible manner, reinforcing cohesion and group identity through shared experiences that are both personal and collective.

The impact of religion on social and cultural identity is profound and extends well beyond the boundaries of religious communities. As highlighted by Steiner (2013), religious beliefs often intertwine with politics, influencing public debates and policies on moral and legal issues. Religious institutions use their communicative influence to mobilize their followers, actively participating in the public sphere and shaping political and social discussions around ethical and moral issues.

In a globalized context, interreligious dialogue becomes a crucial part of religious communication. These efforts are directed at fostering mutual understanding and peaceful coexistence among different religious traditions, which is fundamental in a world where interactions between diverse cultures and beliefs are constant (Knitter,

2002). This type of communication seeks not only tolerance but also active respect and mutual learning among different religious communities.

Understanding the interaction between religion and communication is essential to appreciate how religious beliefs are not only influenced by the contemporary world but also profoundly influence it. Religion and communication are intrinsically interconnected, forming a dynamic cycle in which the internal practices of religious communities and their interactions with society at large are continuously shaped and reshaped. This understanding is vital for any sociopolitical or cultural analysis that seeks to comprehend the forces shaping our modern societies.

RELIGION AS A FOUNDATION AND TOOL OF POLITICS

The interaction between religion and politics constitutes one of the most influential dynamics in the history and structure of societies. This relationship transcends personal beliefs and community practices, deeply penetrating the design and implementation of public policies and affecting both governance and the social identity of nations. This analysis seeks to unravel the complexity of how religion and politics intertwine and mutually shape power structures throughout history, highlighting their role in consolidating power and influencing governmental policies.

Historically, religion has been a fundamental pillar in legitimizing political regimes. From the pharaohs of Egypt to the medieval kings of Europe, who saw themselves as divine representatives on Earth, religion has served as a tool to justify authority and power. Ryazhev (2021) argues that the use of religion to strengthen political power is not merely a relic of the past but a practice that persists in modern politics. His study on religious conflicts in the south of the Russian Empire illustrates how religious institutions and their leaders have played active roles in politics, influencing state decisions and social structures.

In the context of conflicts or international diplomacy, religious actors often emerge as critical intermediaries between the state and citizens, and among nations. The ability of religious leaders to mediate conflicts has been crucial in numerous cases where their intervention was decisive in resolving disputes (Calfano, 2021). This underscores the importance of political and diplomatic communication in managing religious tensions, demonstrating how religion can act as a channel for peace and reconciliation in times of crisis (Henaku et al., 2023).

Moreover, religion exerts a direct and profound influence on the formulation of public policies. In many societies, religious values and norms shape national legislation on moral and ethical issues, such as reproductive rights, marriage, and end-of-life policies (Albertson, 2015). These areas are often sources of intense and polarized debates, reflecting deep divisions within societies based on religious beliefs. Legislation influenced by religious values can have significant impacts on the daily lives of citizens, showcasing the capacity of religion to influence public policy and vice versa.

The dynamics between religion and politics not only shape policies and governments but also affect the social and cultural identity of nations. Religious beliefs, being an integral part of the identity of many individuals, play a central role in how these people perceive the world and participate in their communities and politics. Religion provides not only a moral and ethical framework for its adherents but also interacts with global political systems in structuring power (Perkinson, 2015).

Understanding this interaction is crucial for any political or social analysis that seeks to unravel the forces shaping modern societies. The relationship between religion and politics is a powerful and often conflictive force that influences nearly all aspects of public and private life, highlighting the importance of studying their confluence to fully understand contemporary power structures and their impact on global governance.

COMMUNICATION AND RELIGION IN THE PUBLIC SPHERE

The relationship between religion and communication is profoundly interactive and mutually influential, particularly evident in the public sphere where both dimensions meet and intertwine with politics. Religion employs communication not only to disseminate its teachings but also to position its beliefs within the political

spectrum, using symbols, rituals, and discourses to connect with its followers and society at large (du Plessis, 1998; Mkrtumova et al., 2016). Religious symbols, key elements in this process, act as points of identification and powerful declarations of presence and belonging within a broader cultural and political context. For example, the visibility of a crucifix or a Star of David in public places can be interpreted as a powerful statement of identity and faith.

Religious rituals, such as the celebrations of Holy Week or Ramadan, are not only expressions of devotion but also acts of public affirmation of community values and beliefs that manifest as a powerful form of communication. Moreover, religious narratives provide a framework through which social and political issues can be understood and debated, offering perspectives on justice, power, and community (Frei, 2020). These narratives not only teach morality and ethics but can also influence politics and the formulation of public policies.

According to Edelman (1967), both politics and religion are inseparable from the symbolic expressions and rituals that make up the human experience. This shared existential burden drives both the creation of symbolism and the personal experience of new forms of religiosity in the public space. In this realm, religious communication is not just a matter of faith but also of power, where religious manifestations seek to influence or challenge existing power structures (Mapuweyi & Wozniak, 2018; Habib et al., 2020).

The communication strategies employed by religions not only defend doctrinal positions but also aim to persuade and effect social and political changes. Through preaching, religious education, and participation in media, religious institutions strive to shape opinions and public policies that reflect their fundamental values (Mihuţ, 2011; Duche-Pérez et al., 2024). Religious and political actors can collaborate, compete, or conflict, depending on how religious messages are perceived and utilized in public discourse. This interaction is crucial for understanding how public policies can be influenced by religious considerations.

In the contemporary context, the challenges of religious communication in the public sphere are numerous, including secularization, religious plurality, and debates about freedom of expression. Each of these factors can affect how religious expressions are perceived and managed, requiring a delicate balance between respect for diversity and maintaining social cohesion (Siebert, 2017). Understanding these dynamics is essential for any analysis of how religious beliefs and practices influence and are influenced by the broader political and social environment, offering deeper insight into the integrated nature of communication, religion, and politics in shaping the modern world.

POWER DYNAMICS IN POLITICAL AND RELIGIOUS COMMUNICATION

The analysis of the intersection between religion and politics through their communicative strategies reveals the deep entanglement of these elements in shaping power and governance in modern societies. Religious actors not only use communication to disseminate doctrines and foster cohesion within their communities but also strategically employ it to influence politics, leveraging the authority and moral weight they can infuse into their messages (Frunză, 2012). This ability allows them to penetrate political discourse and affect both governmental decisions and public policies, demonstrating how religious principles can shape national laws and guidelines, thus extending their influence beyond their direct communities (Iancu & Balaban, 2009; Mapuweyi & Wozniak, 2018).

In contexts of significant confessional diversity, such as the Swiss Confederation, the relationship between religious communication and politics is particularly notable. Hacke (2007) observes that in Switzerland, a country with a complex federal political system and a great diversity of religions, communicative and political practices are closely interconnected. Religious leaders use communication as a tool to negotiate and redefine their position within the political spectrum, which translates into direct influences on legislation and policies that directly impact their communities, illustrating how religious actors can wield political power through strategic communication.

The process of negotiation and communication between religious and political actors is complex and not unidirectional. It involves a series of strategic interactions where religious messages are designed to resonate with the prevailing political ideologies (Habib, 2020). This implies that religious beliefs and messages must be

presented in a way that not only is accepted by religious adherents but also is relevant and compelling to legislators and the general public. In the case of Switzerland, the influence of religious groups on politics critically depends on how they articulate their beliefs in a way that is pertinent to national political discussions.

Religious communication in politics also encompasses the defense of religious rights and freedoms. Religious actors often lead debates on how public policies should respect and protect religious practices and beliefs (Ogan, 2013; Navarro, 2014). This is manifested in debates on issues such as religious education in public schools, the display of religious symbols in public places, and the regulation of religious festivities and rituals (Salih, 2024). This aspect of religious communication highlights how religious principles can be translated into terms of human rights and civil liberties, influencing public policies in ways that respect religious diversity while protecting religious practices.

The media play a crucial role in the dissemination of religious messages, influencing how these messages are perceived in the public sphere. The way the media presents religious issues can strengthen or weaken the position of religious groups within the political debate (Iancu & Balaban, 2009). Therefore, media coverage not only reflects tensions between religion and politics but also acts as an active participant that can shape the nature of these interactions.

Recognizing that religious communication can be a unifying and clarifying force in politics is essential, though it also has the potential to exacerbate divisions and conflicts, especially in multiconfessional societies like Switzerland. Managing religious diversity through political communication requires a delicate balance that respects religious differences while promoting a common national identity and belonging.

IMPACT OF COMMUNICATION AND RELIGION ON PUBLIC POLICY FORMULATION

The interaction between communication and religion in the formulation of public policies is a complex and multifaceted phenomenon that warrants detailed analysis. Religious and political actors do not operate in isolated spheres but continuously interact, exerting mutual influences that can significantly impact society. The ability of religion to impact politics through various media illustrates the intricate connection between these two dimensions.

Media play an essential role in this process, acting as bridges between religious leaders and politics, and facilitating a space where religious ideologies can be widely disseminated and debated (Habib et al., 2020). This dynamic is particularly influential in regulating religious discourse, where the media can either amplify or moderate religious voices, depending on their editorial orientation and political agenda.

A clarifying example of how communication influences the interaction between religion and politics is the regulation of religious discourse. Decisions about the prominence of religious discourse in public spaces can have profound implications for the formulation and implementation of public policies. In certain contexts, an increase in the visibility of religious discourse in the media may lead to a renewed focus on traditional values, influencing policies related to marriage, education, and reproductive rights (Calfano, 2021).

Conversely, a preference for less religious language in the political arena, as indicated by Hacke (2007), could result in a diminished ability of religious groups to mobilize their followers, especially in culturally diverse societies. This demonstrates how communicative preferences and the representation of religion in the media can substantially modify political persuasion and, consequently, policy formulation.

Moreover, communication between religious and political actors can affect the public's perception of the legitimacy of both groups. The active participation of religious leaders in political debates, using the media to argue their positions, can either increase their influence or involve them in political controversies that might alienate some of their followers (Scheufele et al., 2003; Mihuţ, 2011; Steiner, 2013).

Public policy formulation is also influenced by how religious ideologies are communicated within the government. Politicians who employ religious rhetoric may resonate with specific segments of the electorate,

which can be decisive during elections and thus directly influence the direction of public policies (Iancu & Balaban, 2009).

However, the use of religious communication in politics can also lead to polarization, where religion becomes more of a point of division than unity. This can have long-lasting consequences on social cohesion, especially in societies where multiple religions and beliefs coexist (Navarro, 2014; Albertson, 2015).

Therefore, it is crucial that policymakers and religious leaders are aware of the potential repercussions of their communicative strategies and choose approaches that promote mutual understanding and respect. This is especially critical in areas of governance dealing with civil and human rights, where decisions influenced by specific religious doctrines can have direct impacts on people's lives.

The communication between religious and political actors represents a dynamic area that requires careful and thoughtful attention. Decisions made at this intersection not only shape public policies but also the social fabric as a whole. It is imperative that these dialogues be managed with a balanced approach that respects diversity.

CONCLUSIONS

The interaction between politics, communication, and religion forms a crucial framework for understanding how power is exercised in any society. These communicative strategies transcend mere channels of information; they become fundamental tools that influence public opinion, generate consensus, and legitimize both political actions and religious ideologies. This complex relationship demonstrates how political and religious actors use communicative techniques to consolidate their influence and authority.

From the earliest analyses of propaganda, it has been recognized that governments and religious organizations use mass media to influence and shape public opinion. This strategic use of communication has significantly intensified throughout history and remains an effective method for directing the public towards specific goals, both political and religious. As media and society have evolved, new theories have underscored the power of media not only to transmit news but also to establish the public importance of certain issues, thus influencing social and political priorities and altering public perception (Calfano, 2021).

The concept of "framing" explores how media and communicators shape the presentation of news and events to structure public interpretation of these occurrences. This approach is crucial in the political and religious realms, where the way policies, crises, or doctrines are presented can radically change the public's response (Scheufele et al., 2003; Navarro, 2014). In practice, both governments and religious institutions use communication to inform and simultaneously persuade the public about the validity of their policies and beliefs through speeches and sermons, which are clear examples of how communication is essential not only for informing but also for shaping public opinion (Iancu & Balaban, 2009). In the context of electoral campaigns and evangelization, communication plays a fundamental role. Political and religious leaders invest significantly in communication strategies to maximize their appeal and persuade their audiences. This includes everything from television advertisements to complex social media campaigns, combining persuasion, image, and rhetoric designed to deeply influence both voters and the faithful. Furthermore, social movements and religious activists employ communication techniques to challenge the status quo and mobilize society towards specific causes, driving legislative and doctrinal changes (Henaku et al., 2023). These efforts seek to modify the public agenda, introducing new topics or redefining the debate on existing problems in both political and religious spheres.

Understanding the theory and practice of communication in the context of politics and religion is fundamental for any contemporary analysis. The ability to communicate effectively not only reflects the capability of leaders to inform but also their competence to shape, direct, and, in some cases, manipulate public opinion (Siebert, 2017). The interconnection between politics, communication, and religion, where each element influences and is influenced by the others, underscores the critical importance of communication in structuring power and governance in society, affecting both the political structure and the spiritual life of communities.

REFERENCES

Albertson, B. L. (2015). Dog-Whistle Politics: Multivocal Communication and Religious Appeals. Political Behavior, 37(1), 3-26. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11109-013-9265-x

Calfano, B. (Ed.). (2021). Exploring the Public Effects of Religious Communication on Politics. University of Michigan Press. https://doi.org/10.3998/mpub.11533900

Djupe, P. A., & Neiheisel, J. R. (2022). The Religious Communication Approach and Political Behavior. Political Psychology, 43(S1), 165-194. https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12848

du Plessis, D. (1998). Religion and politics: Afrikaner right-wing (mis)communication in South Africa in 1994. Communicatio, 24(1), 32-38. https://doi.org/10.1080/02500169808537842

Duche-Pérez, A. (2024). Eternal Politics: Life and Death in the Evangelical Community. (2024). International Journal of Religion, 5(4), 360-373. https://doi.org/10.61707/3rw3ne10

Edelman, M. (1967). The Symbolic Uses of Politics. University of Illinois Press.

Eliade, M. (1959). The Sacred and the Profane: The Nature of Religion. Harcourt, Brace & World.

Frei, R. (2020). "In my home nobody spoke about religion, politics or football": Communicative silences among generations in Argentina and Chile. Memory Studies, 13(4), 570-585. https://doi.org/10.1177/1750698017754249

Frunză, S. (2012). Political communication and the median space of religious experience. Revista de Cercetare si Interventie Sociala, 39, 176-186.

Goffman, E. (1974). Frame Analysis: An Essay on the Organization of Experience. Harvard University Press.

Habib, S., Adelman, L., Leidner, B., Pasha, S., & Sibii, R. (2020). Perpetrator Religion and Perceiver's Political Ideology Affect Processing and Communication of Media Reports of Violence. Social Psychology, 51(1), 63-75. https://doi.org/10.1027/1864-9335/a000385

Hacke, D. (2007). Church, space and conflict: Religious co-existence and political communication in seventeenth-century Switzerland. German History, 25(3), 285-312. https://doi.org/10.1177/0266355407079904

Henaku, N., Agbozo, G. E., & Nartey, M. (Eds.). (2023). Communicative Perspectives on COVID-19 in Ghana: At the Intersection of Culture, Science, Religion and Politics. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003330042

Hoover, S. (2006). Religion in the Media Age. Routledge.

Iancu, I., & Balaban, D. C. (2009). Religion and political communication during elections in Romania. Journal for the Study of Religions and Ideologies, 8(24), 151-167.

Knitter, P. (2002). Introducing Theologies of Religions. Orbis Books.

Lasswell, H. (1927). Propaganda Technique in the World War. The MIT Press.

Luhmann, N. (2000). The Reality of the Mass Media. Stanford University Press.

Mapuweyi, N., & Wozniak, J. (2018). Discourses of power and counter-power in the Zimbabwean politico-religious communication in online news media. Journal of African Media Studies, 10(3), 273-295. https://doi.org/10.1386/JAMS.10.3.273_1

McCombs, M., & Shaw, D. L. (1972). The Agenda-Setting Function of Mass Media. Public Opinion Quarterly, 36(2), 176-187.

Mihuț, L. (2011). Lobbying - a political communication tool for churches and religious organizations. Journal for the Study of Religions and Ideologies, 10(29), 64-86.

Mkrtumova, I., Dosanova, A., Karabulatova, I., & Nifontov, V. (2016). The use of communication technologies to oppose political-religious terrorism as an ethnosocial deviation in the contemporary information-digital society. Central Asia and the Caucasus, 17(2), 54-61.

Navarro, L. (2014). Public policies, communication and Moroccan immigration in Spain: From the protection of religious freedom to the promotion of diversity. Communication and Society, 27(2), 195-219.

Ogan, C. (2013). Communication, politics and religion in an Islamic community. En R. King & N. Wood (Eds.), Media and Migration: Constructions of Mobility and Difference (pp. 127-142). https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203458549-14

Perkinson, J. W. (2015). Political spirituality in an age of eco-apocalypse: Communication and struggle across species, cultures, and religions. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137489814

Ryazhev, A. S. (2021). Catholic missionaries, Armenian communities and the Austrian ambassador: Political and diplomatic communication in the religious conflict in south of the Russian Empire, 1755-1760. Bylye Gody, 16(3), 1116-1123. https://doi.org/10.13187/BG.2021.3.1116

Salih, M. A. (2024). The Curious Personality Cult of Abu Bakr Al-Baghdadi: At the Crossroads of Religion, Politics, and Communication Strategies and Technologies. Journal of Religion, Media and Digital Culture, 13(1), 65-87. https://doi.org/10.1163/21659214-bja10107

Scheufele, D. A., Nisbet, M. C., & Brossard, D. (2003). Pathways to political participation? Religion, communication contexts, and mass media. International Journal of Public Opinion Research, 15(3), 300-324. https://doi.org/10.1093/ijpor/15.3.300

Siebert, R. J. (2017). The future of religion: The rescue of religious semantic potentials, motives and motivations through their inversion into secular discourse and communicative and political action. Routledge.

Steiner, S. (2013). Reflexivity in External Religious Leaders' Summit Communication Sequences (2005-2012) to G8 Political Leaders: The Social (Re)Construction of Cosmopolitan Responsibility by World Religious Leaders. Sage Open, 3(4). https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244013515690