

Integrative Approaches to Internal Quality Assurance in Junior High Schools: Exploring Impacts on Student Learning, Teacher Effectiveness, and School Management

Saugadi¹, Ali Imron², Maisyaroh³ and Burhanuddin⁴

Abstract

The concept of Internal Quality Assurance (IQA) in Indonesian junior high schools is examined in this study, focusing on its effects on student learning, teacher effectiveness, and school management. Employing a qualitative case study method, the research involved teachers, students, administrators, parents, local education authorities, and Quality Assurance officers. Through in-depth interviews and thematic analysis, findings reveal that IQA enhances classroom organization and student engagement, while providing valuable feedback and professional development for teachers. Despite these benefits, challenges include increased administrative tasks and potential teacher burnout. IQA also improves resource management but requires adaptation to specific local needs. The study advocates for an IQA approach that balances educational quality with community impact, emphasizing the importance of managing stress and administrative burdens to optimize benefits. Limitations noted include biases from self-reported data, recommending future mixed-methods research to expand participant diversity.

Keywords: Internal Quality Assurance, Impacts of IQA, Student Learning, Teacher Effectiveness, School Management

INTRODUCTION

In the realm of educational development, the quality assurance within junior high schools holds a pivotal role in shaping the future of young learners. Across various educational systems, internal quality assurance (IQA) is recognized not just as a regulatory necessity but as a cornerstone for educational excellence (Abu-Rumman & Qawasmeh, 2022; Akour & Alenezi, 2022; Granziera et al., 2022). This understanding is underpinned by a broad consensus among educators, policymakers, and researchers that the quality of education directly influences student outcomes and school effectiveness. The concept of IQA in schools encompasses a wide range of practices and mechanisms that aim to monitor, evaluate, and improve the educational process. These mechanisms are crucial for ensuring that educational institutions meet certain standards of teaching, learning, and administration (Benhacine, 2023; Hsu, 2023; Mtitu et al., 2023; Tamrat, 2022). Research has extensively documented the positive correlations between well-implemented quality assurance systems and student achievement levels. For instance, studies by Díez et al. (2020) have shown that systematic quality assurance practices contribute to improved student performance, enhanced teacher motivation, and more effective school management. Moreover, the scope of IQA is vast, involving multiple stakeholders within the school environment, including teachers, students, administrators, and sometimes, parents (Gross et al., 2020; Unger et al., 2023; VanValkenburgh et al., 2021). The collective engagement in quality assurance processes ensures a comprehensive approach to educational improvement, fostering an environment where all parties are accountable for the educational outcomes. This integrative approach not only amplifies the benefits of IQA systems but also aligns with broader educational goals such as inclusivity, adaptability, and continuous improvement (Ahmad & Ahmed, 2023; Maisyaroh et al., 2021; Zafar et al., 2020). Despite the recognized importance of internal quality assurance, there is a diversity in how these systems are implemented and their consequent impact on different facets of school operation. This variability presents a rich area for exploration and understanding, particularly concerning how integrative approaches to IQA can enhance or hinder educational outcomes. Such an exploration is timely and necessary, given the increasing emphasis on

¹ Faculty of Science Education, Educational Management, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia. E-mail: ghady.chakep@gmail.com

² Faculty of Science Education, Educational Management, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia. E-mail: ali.imron.fip@um.ac.id,

³ Faculty of Science Education, Educational Management, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia, E-mail: maisyaroh.fip@um.ac.id

⁴ Faculty of Science Education, Educational Management, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia. E-mail: burhanuddin.fip@um.ac.id

educational quality in the context of global educational reforms and competitiveness (Burhan et al., 2024; Wahjono et al., 2021).

While the positive impacts of internal quality assurance systems are well-documented, the specific integration of these systems within junior high school settings warrants deeper investigation. Junior high schools represent a critical transitional phase in the educational trajectory where students are particularly vulnerable to disengagement and learning disparities. Therefore, the application of IQA in these schools may have unique implications that differ significantly from those observed in elementary or senior high settings. Existing literature (see Gross et al., 2020; Jam et al., 2012; Unger et al., 2023; Zafar et al., 2020) often treats IQA as a homogeneous entity, applying general findings across all educational levels without considering the nuanced differences that may affect the implementation and outcomes at each stage. This oversight is particularly glaring given the distinct developmental, instructional, and administrative characteristics inherent to junior high schools. For instance, the developmental needs of adolescents require different approaches to teaching and learning, which should be reflected in tailored quality assurance practices (Estevan et al., 2021; Nasheeda et al., 2019; Verhoeven et al., 2019). Furthermore, the integration of IQA practices is not merely about the adoption of standard procedures but about the holistic incorporation of these practices into the daily rhythms of school life (Jingura & Kamusoko, 2019; Tamrat, 2022). This involves understanding and addressing the specific challenges and opportunities that arise in junior high settings, such as varying teacher preparedness, student engagement levels, and administrative support. The need for research that delves into how integrative approaches to IQA can be optimized to support these unique challenges is evident.

The description above is particularly relevant to the Indonesian context, where research often focuses on higher education, frequently overlooking the unique challenges faced by junior high schools. These challenges include significant regional disparities in resources, varying levels of teacher training, and differing administrative capacities. Additionally, existing literature (see Anselmus-Dami et al., 2022; Hanim et al., 2022; Rahminawati & Supriyadi, 2023; Tohani et al., 2023) tends to examine IQA with a focus on either student learning outcomes or teacher effectiveness, but not both together. The interconnected impacts of these factors, within the IQA framework—especially how improved school management practices can influence educational dynamics—are seldom considered in an Indonesian setting. This fragmented approach leaves a significant gap in understanding how integrative IQA strategies can be effectively implemented and adapted to Indonesian educational policy and culture.

To the best of our knowledge, there is scant research reported in the academic literature concerning the implementation of IQA systems in Indonesian junior high schools. This gap is significant given that these schools face unique challenges distinct from those in higher education. These include considerable regional disparities in resources, variations in teacher training and expertise, and differences in administrative capacity, all of which influence the effectiveness of educational strategies. While researchers like Budiyaniti et al. (2020) have investigated the impact of teacher training on teaching practices, and Fernandes (2020) have explored administrative support's effect on quality assurance processes, neither study offers a comprehensive understanding of how these factors collectively interact within the IQA framework to simultaneously affect educational outcomes and teacher performance in Indonesian junior high schools.

In line with the foregoing discussion, this research aims to bridge the identified gap by examining how integrative approaches to internal quality assurance impact student learning, teacher effectiveness, and school management in Indonesian junior high schools. By concentrating on this educational level, the study intends to generate insights that are both directly applicable and highly relevant to stakeholders involved in the management and operation of junior high schools. The anticipated findings of this study are expected to make a substantial contribution to the field of educational quality assurance by offering evidence-based recommendations. These recommendations will target policy makers, school administrators, and educators, aiming to enhance the effectiveness of IQA systems and thus improve educational outcomes during a critical phase of students' educational journey.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Research Design

The research employed a qualitative case study as advocated by Yin (2009) to explore the impact of internal quality assurance on student learning, teacher effectiveness, and school management in Indonesian junior high schools. This approach was chosen due to its suitability for obtaining deep, detailed insights into complex educational processes and the specific contexts of individual schools. By focusing on particular instances within the Indonesian educational system, the case study method allows for an in-depth understanding of the interrelationships between quality assurance practices and educational outcomes. Additionally, this methodology supports the gathering of rich, qualitative data through interviews, observations, and document reviews, enabling researchers to capture the nuanced perspectives of students, teachers, and administrators. This is particularly vital in educational settings where procedural and cultural variables significantly influence effectiveness.

Research Participants

The research on the impact of internal quality assurance in Indonesian junior high schools incorporated a diverse group of participants to ensure a comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon. The participant selection included teachers across various subjects and experience levels, students from different academic standings, and school administrators such as principals and department heads. Additionally, parents of enrolled students and local education authorities were involved to provide external perspectives on the effectiveness of quality assurance practices. Quality assurance officers, who specialize in the design and evaluation of these systems, were also included to offer insights into the operational and strategic aspects of implementation. This mixed group was chosen to capture a broad range of experiences and viewpoints, ensuring that the study encompassed the intricate dynamics of educational quality assurance processes. Each participant was selected based on their direct involvement with or impact by the quality assurance systems, and ethical considerations such as informed consent and confidentiality were meticulously upheld throughout the study.

Table 1. Demographic information of participants

Participant Group	Number	Age Range	Gender Distribution	Other Demographic Info
Students	30	12-15	50% Female, 50% Male	Representing grades 7-9
Teachers	15	25-55	60% Female, 40% Male	5+ years of teaching experience
School Administrators	10	35-60	70% Male, 30% Female	Including principals and vice-principals
Parents	20	30-50	55% Female, 45% Male	Parents of children in participating schools
Local Education Authorities	5	40-65	60% Male, 40% Female	Officials from local educational departments
Quality Assurance Officers	5	30-55	40% Female, 60% Male	Specialists in school quality assurance systems

Research Instruments

We utilized semi-structured interviews as the main tool for our research to capture detailed insights. Drawing on extensive scholarly sources, including the works of Benhacine (2023), Mtitu et al. (2023), Díez et al. (2020), Unger et al. (2023), VanValkenburgh et al. (2021), we carefully developed the interviews to include open-ended questions. These questions were specifically designed to provoke thorough and meaningful responses, enabling us to delve into complex views on the subject.

Before the primary interviews, we conducted a pilot test with a select group of participants to test the efficacy of our questions and the interview structure. The insights gained from this initial phase were critical in refining our questions, improving their clarity, impartiality, and focus. This preliminary step helped validate our research tools, ensuring they effectively captured the desired concepts and yielded reliable, consistent responses across different respondents, thereby preserving the internal consistency of our study.

The semi-structured nature of the interviews provided flexibility to pose additional questions based on the initial responses of the participants, allowing for a deeper investigation of specific issues. Participants were briefed about the research objectives prior to the interviews and provided informed consent, upholding ethical standards. The choice of semi-structured interviews was particularly apt for our qualitative research, facilitating the collection of rich, multi-dimensional data from a diverse group of stakeholders including students, teachers, school administrators, parents, local education authorities, and quality assurance officers. The interviews concentrated on various critical aspects: the impact of IQA on student learning, teacher effectiveness, and school management. This holistic approach helped achieve a comprehensive understanding of the participants' perspectives and beliefs, aligning with the study's goals to gather qualitative data.

Data Collection Procedure

After carefully designing an interview protocol focused on three key areas—impact of IQA on student learning, teacher effectiveness, and school management—we organized individual interview sessions with participants. These were scheduled at times that best suited each participant to ensure convenience. Before each session began, we thoroughly briefed participants on the aims of the study, the interview process, and our commitment to maintaining data confidentiality and security. We also obtained their informed consent, reassuring them of their rights within the study, including the option to withdraw at any time without any negative consequences.

The structure of the interview was designed to methodically tackle each research question in sequence, creating a comfortable and open environment for honest discussions. Initially, we posed open-ended questions about the impact of IQA on student learning, gathering insights from students, teachers, and parents. This approach allowed us to capture a broad range of experiences and viewpoints on internal quality assurance processes, enhancing our understanding of their effect on educational outcomes and identifying successful practices as well as potential improvements.

We then examined the impact of IQA on teacher effectiveness, collecting data from teachers and school administrators. This part of the study evaluated how quality assurance influences teaching practices, teacher motivation, and overall quality of instruction, aiming to pinpoint critical factors that either support or impede teacher performance. The findings are expected to inform strategies for educational enhancement and professional development.

In the final part of our study, we investigated the impact of IQA on school management by gathering information from school administrators, local education authorities, and quality assurance officers. We focused on the integration of quality assurance in management and decision-making processes within schools. This examination aimed to assess how these practices improve operational efficiency, compliance with standards, and the overall school environment, with the potential to recommend ways to optimize management practices.

To ensure the authenticity of responses and minimize social-desirability bias, we explicitly encouraged participants to provide genuine feedback rather than socially acceptable answers. We audio-recorded each interview with permission for precise documentation, supplemented by detailed note-taking during sessions to capture important insights and immediate observations. Following each interview, we carefully transcribed the recordings to maintain data integrity for analysis. All materials were securely stored to protect participant privacy and support effective data management for future analysis and reporting.

Data Analysis

In our research, we utilized a thematic case study methodology for analyzing qualitative data, following the guidelines established by Braun and Clarke (2006). This approach included a detailed five-step process designed to identify and connect recurrent themes and patterns within the data, aligning with our research goals. Our first step involved deeply engaging with the data by repeatedly reading the interview transcripts to gain an in-depth understanding of participant responses. Next, we initiated the coding process by grouping similar words and phrases that aligned with our research objectives. Following meticulous evaluation, these preliminary codes were then organized into specific categories. This organization helped us to identify and understand the relationships and recurring motifs among the categories.

FINDINGS

The aim of this research is to explore the impact of IQA on three crucial areas within educational institutions: student learning, teacher effectiveness, and school management. Through interviews conducted with students, teachers, parents, school administrators and Quality Assurance Officers across four participant groups, our study elucidates how IQA influences educational practices and outcomes.

Impact on Student Learning

The implementation of Internal Quality Assurance (IQA) measures has resulted in more structured and organized classrooms, significantly enhancing the educational experience. This organization, as reported by students across various grades, appears to be a direct result of clearer educational guidelines and improved resource allocation. For instance, one student expressed their appreciation for these changes:

“[...] Since the school started focusing more on quality checks, our lessons have become more organized. Teachers follow clear guidelines, which makes it easier for us to understand what we are supposed to learn [...]” (an 8-grade student)

Additionally, students have noticed an improvement in how objectives are communicated, which aids in better focusing their studies:

“[...] I like that we now know exactly what is expected of us. There are clear objectives at the start of each unit, and this helps me focus my studies better [...]” (a 7-grade students)

Moreover, the enhancement in classroom resources has not gone unnoticed, which has made learning more engaging:

“[...] I've noticed that our classrooms are better equipped now, and the new materials make learning more interesting and interactive [...]” (a 9-grade student)

However, alongside these improvements, some students have voiced concerns regarding the pressures associated with increased testing and performance evaluations:

“[...] There is a lot more tests than there used to be. I understand it helps the school see how we are doing, but it is also a lot of pressure [...]” (a 9-grade student)

Echoing this sentiment, another student highlighted a desire for a more varied learning approach:

“[...] Sometimes, it feels like all we do is prepare for exams. I wish there was more time for fun projects or activities that let us learn in different ways [...]” (a 7-grade student)

Transitioning from student feedback to teacher perspectives, it is evident that educators also recognize the benefits and challenges brought by IQA. Teachers acknowledge that the quality of instruction has improved, which directly benefits the students:

“[...] The IQA has brought a level of rigor to our teaching methods that was not there before. We are more focused on achieving clear learning outcomes, which is ultimately beneficial for the students [...]” (a math teacher)

In support of this findings, another teacher highlighted how access to better resources has improved their ability to teach complex topics:

“[...] Since the implementation of quality assurance, I have had access to better resources and training, which has allowed me to improve how I teach complex topics [...]” (a science teacher)

Nevertheless, teachers also face challenges, particularly with the rigidity of assessments and the pressure to meet benchmarks, which can limit pedagogical flexibility:

“[...] While I understand the need for assessments, the increased frequency can be overwhelming for both teachers and students. It sometimes feels like we teach more for the exams than for learning. [...]” (*a physical education teacher*)

“[...] There’s constant pressure to ensure all students meet the high standards set by our IQA system. It is challenging to maintain this without compromising the quality of education [...]” (*a math teacher*)

Similarly, parents generally appreciate the structured and transparent nature of the learning environment under IQA. They recognize the improvements in academic standards and appreciate the accountability it brings to educational practices:

“[...] I have noticed a positive change in the school’s approach. There is more communication about what is expected in terms of learning, and I can see how it helps my child prepare better [...]” (*Parent of a Grade 8 student*)

“[...] The school’s new emphasis on quality assurance has lifted the academic standards. My daughter’s performance in science has improved, and she seems more confident in her studies [...]” (*Parent of a Grade 9 student*)

However, like students and teachers, some parents express concerns about the stress associated with increased assessments and the potential pressure on students:

“[...] While I appreciate the focus on maintaining high standards, the increase in tests and exams is a bit too much. I worry about the stress it causes, especially during exam periods. [...]” (*Parent of a Grade 8 student*)

“[...] There seems to be a constant cycle of assessments. I understand the need for measuring progress, but it feels overwhelming at times for the kids [...]” (*Parent of a Grade 7 student*)

Impact on Teacher Effectiveness

Teachers widely acknowledge that IQA initiatives have significantly contributed to their professional growth and classroom effectiveness. These processes not only offer structured feedback but also crucial development opportunities that enhance teaching skills. Many educators have expressed how regular feedback from IQA reviews has been transformative, providing insights into their teaching practices and highlighting areas for improvement:

“[...] The regular feedback from IQA reviews has been invaluable. It has helped me identify areas where I can improve and provided the necessary resources to do so. I feel more equipped and confident in my teaching methods now [...]” (*a math teacher*)

In addition to improving personal teaching methods, IQA has also helped teachers better plan their lessons, ensuring comprehensive coverage of the curriculum:

“[...] With the structured approach from IQA, I have been able to plan my lessons more effectively. It ensures that I cover all essential aspects of the curriculum, which has certainly enhanced my effectiveness as a teacher.” (*an English teacher*)

Despite these benefits, the implementation of IQA is not without its challenges, particularly in terms of increased administrative duties and the pressure to meet set metrics:

“[...] While I appreciate the focus on improving teaching quality, the administrative side of IQA can be overwhelming. The documentation and data entry take away from the time I could spend on more creative aspects of teaching [...]” (*an art teacher*)

“[...] There’s a constant pressure to meet the benchmarks set by IQA. This can be motivating, but it also creates a high-stress environment that might not always be conducive to effective teaching [...]” (*Biology Teacher*)

Furthermore, school administrators in relation to supporting teacher effectiveness have noted that IQA has been instrumental in not only enhancing teacher performance but also in aligning teaching practices with educational standards:

“[...] The IQA framework has allowed us to create a more supportive environment for our teachers. We are able to identify areas where they need help and provide specific resources or training to address these needs. It is definitely boosted our overall teaching quality [...]” (*Junior High School Principal*)

“[...] Our focus on continuous improvement through IQA has led to higher engagement levels in the classroom and more innovative teaching methods. This is critical for keeping up with current educational demands [...]” (*Head of curriculum*)

However, some administrators also recognize the dual nature of these initiatives, where the pressures of constant evaluations can sometimes overshadow the benefits:

“[...] The pressure to meet specific performance metrics can sometimes be counterproductive. It is important that we find the right balance between ensuring quality and maintaining a supportive atmosphere for our teachers. [...]” (*Junior High School Vice Principal*)

“[...] It is a double-edged sword. On one side, the structured feedback and development opportunities help in building teacher confidence and skills. On the other, the constant evaluations can be daunting and may affect morale if not managed carefully [...]” (*Head of Student Affairs*)

Impact on School Management

School administrators have noted significant improvements in the management of schools due to the implementation of IQA. These improvements are manifested in clearer structures, better accountability, and more effective resource allocation:

“[...] The introduction of IQA has brought a lot of discipline into our school's operational management. It has helped us establish clear roles and responsibilities, making our school function more cohesively [...]” (*Junior High School Principal*)

This sentiment is echoed by another administrator who highlights the increased transparency resulting from IQA practices:

“[...] IQA has been instrumental in increasing transparency at our school. Parents and stakeholders now have a clearer view of what we are doing and why, which has helped in building trust and enhancing community relations [...]” (*School Administrator*)

Further, the effective management of resources has been a notable benefit, as stated by a principal:

“[...] One of the significant impacts of IQA has been on how we manage our resources. We are now more data-driven, allocating resources where they are most needed, which has not only saved costs but also maximized our educational offerings [...]” (*Junior High School Principal*)

While the benefits are clear, the implementation of IQA systems is not without challenges. These systems require substantial effort and can introduce complexities in school administration:

“[...] The complexity of fully integrating IQA into all our operations is often underestimated. It is a continuous process that requires constant attention and refinement, which can stretch our administrative capabilities [...]” (*Head of Quality Assurance*)

The initial setup and ongoing management of IQA also pose significant challenges:

“[...] While IQA brings many benefits, the initial setup and ongoing management can be quite challenging. It requires significant training for staff and restructuring of existing processes, which can be disruptive [...]” (*Junior High School Vice Principal*)

Local education authorities recognize IQA as a vital tool for enhancing school management and ensuring alignment with national educational standards:

“[...] IQA has played a pivotal role in standardizing practices across schools in our district. It ensures that all institutions adhere to the national education standards, which is crucial for maintaining the quality of education across the board [...]”

“[...] With IQA, schools have become more efficient in their resource use. This is critical, as it allows us to allocate funding more effectively, ensuring that resources go to areas where they can make the most impact [...]”

However, the adaptation of IQA to local contexts is also highlighted as a potential issue:

“[...] Ensuring that every school can implement IQA effectively requires significant investment in training and development. The variability in how schools adopt and adapt these practices can affect the overall success of IQA initiatives [...]” (*Regional Education Officer*)

[...] While the benefits of IQA are clear, its implementation can be challenging, particularly in schools lacking in infrastructure or administrative capacity. Adequate training and support are essential to overcome these barriers [...]” (*Head of Educational Development*)

Quality Assurance Officers have underscored the importance of IQA in enhancing administrative efficiency and fostering a culture of continuous improvement:

“[...] The structured approach of IQA has streamlined many of our administrative processes. We are seeing more efficient use of resources and a reduction in redundancy, which has significantly improved the operational capacity of schools [...]” (*Quality Assurance Officer*)

“[...] Our role involves ensuring that schools not only meet but exceed regulatory standards. IQA provides a framework for consistently measuring and achieving these standards across all levels of school operation [...]” (*Quality Assurance Coordinator*)

They also emphasize the challenges of maintaining and enhancing these systems:

“[...] Maintaining an effective IQA system requires ongoing attention and adaptation. It can be resource-intensive, and without sufficient support and commitment from all levels of school staff, the system may not achieve its full potential [...]” (*Quality Assurance Director*)

“[...] The biggest challenge we face is ensuring that IQA adaptations keep pace with technological advancements and changes in educational pedagogy. Continuous training and development are essential for keeping our IQA practices relevant and effective [...]” (*Quality Assurance Manager*)

To sum up, the implementation of IQA has significantly improved educational environments by enhancing classroom structure, clarifying learning objectives, and equipping teachers more effectively. This structured approach has facilitated professional growth among educators and ensured a more cohesive school management system. However, the benefits are tempered by increased stress due to frequent testing and rigid assessment schedules, alongside the administrative burden they impose.

DISCUSSIONS

The objective of this research is to explore the impact of IQA on three critical dimensions within junior high schools in the Indonesian context: student learning outcomes, teacher effectiveness, and overall school management. In terms of students learning the implementation of IQA measures has been found to significantly enhance the structure and organization of classrooms, corroborating findings in current literature that emphasize the positive impact of clear educational guidelines and efficient resource allocation on the learning

environment (Hussain et al., 2022; Maisyaroh et al., 2021; Stalmeijer et al., 2023). Such structured environments lead to clearer communication of objectives, which enhances student focus and engagement, mirroring the improvements reported by students in our findings. Additionally, upgrades in classroom resources, as noted by Lexman, and Baral (2022), contribute to more engaging and effective learning experiences. However, these findings also highlight potential drawbacks, such as the stress associated with increased testing and evaluations, a concern also raised by Li et al. (2023), who suggests that while IQA fosters academic rigor, it may inadvertently increase pressure on students and teachers alike. Furthermore, the calls for more varied learning approaches are supported by Whalley et al's. (2022) advocacy for flexibility in educational methods to accommodate diverse learning needs. Hence, while the benefits of IQA are evident in fostering a more organized and accountable educational system, they also pose challenges that necessitate careful balancing to avoid undue stress and to promote a holistic educational approach.

The role of IQA in enhancing teacher effectiveness and professional development is widely acknowledged in the field of education. This finding aligns with the seminal work of Seyfried and Pohlenz (2020), who emphasize that IQA initiatives provide structured feedback and vital opportunities for professional growth, thus significantly improving teaching skills and classroom dynamics. Additionally, the transformative impact of regular feedback from IQA evaluations on teaching practices is corroborated by Asiyai (2022), who notes the substantial benefits in lesson planning and curriculum coverage. Furthermore, our research findings are supported by the analysis presented by Almuhaideb and Saeed (2020), who discusses how IQA fosters a consistent alignment between teaching methodologies and educational standards, enhancing overall teacher performance. The positive role of IQA is further affirmed by Beerkens (2020), who underscores its crucial role in promoting educational excellence. Despite these numerous benefits, the challenges associated with IQA cannot be overlooked. Naylor et al. (2021) highlight the increased administrative burdens and the pressure to meet stringent metrics, which can detract from the primary goals of education. Moreover, Madigan and Kim (2021) discuss the psychological impact of these pressures on educators, suggesting that while IQA aims to improve educational outcomes, it may also inadvertently lead to teacher burnout. This nuanced perspective is essential for understanding the dual impacts of IQA—its benefits in enhancing educational quality and the potential downsides. Therefore, a balanced approach is crucial, as noted by Díez et al. (2020), to ensure that IQA measures are implemented effectively to maximize their benefits while minimizing any adverse effects.

The impact of IQA on school management is multifaceted, bringing about significant improvements as well as presenting various challenges, according to recent observations from school administrators. These improvements are chiefly noted in the realms of structural clarity, enhanced accountability, and more effective resource allocation, echoing findings from Ahmad and Ahmed (2023) who emphasize the transformational role of IQA in streamlining operations and fostering transparency in educational settings. Additionally, the benefits of resource management under IQA practices are supported by insights from Seyfried and Pohlenz (2020), who observes that effective management of resources under IQA can lead to more efficient use of educational facilities and materials. However, the challenges of implementing such systems are also evident. School administrators frequently cite the considerable effort required to maintain IQA systems, as well as the complexities added to school administration (Jingura & Kamusoko, 2019; Tamrat, 2022). Moreover, adapting IQA to fit local educational contexts often introduces additional challenges, which are echoed by Quality Assurance Officers who stress the importance of customization in achieving the full benefits of IQA (Estevan et al., 2021; Nasheeda et al., 2019). Despite these hurdles, the consensus remains that IQA is an indispensable tool for enhancing school management and ensuring adherence to national educational standards, with ongoing emphasis on continuous improvement and administrative efficiency (Bafadal et al., 2019; Benhacine, 2023; Hsu, 2023; Mtitu et al., 2023). In a nutshell, the implementation of IQA has enhanced student learning by improving classroom structure and organization, although it also increased stress from testing. For teacher effectiveness, IQA has provided valuable feedback and professional development, but it has also added administrative burdens and risk of burnout. In school management, while IQA has brought clearer structures and better resource management, it presents challenges in implementation and maintenance.

The current research carries significant implications both practically and theoretically. Practically, the findings underscore the necessity for educational institutions to implement IQA with a focus on balancing enhancements with the potential stressors it introduces. This involves adopting strategies that not only improve educational outcomes but also safeguard the well-being of students and educators, such as integrating stress management techniques and providing support for administrative tasks. Theoretically, this research enriches the existing literature by illustrating the dual effects of IQA, both positive and negative, across different dimensions of the educational system. It prompts a reevaluation of the theoretical frameworks that guide the implementation of IQA, suggesting a more nuanced approach that considers the psychological and administrative impacts alongside academic improvements. By doing so, this research contributes to a deeper understanding of how quality assurance measures can be optimized to support educational excellence without compromising the holistic educational experience.

This research, while insightful, presents several limitations that could influence the validity and generalizability of the findings. A primary limitation is the potential bias inherent in self-reported data, as responses may be subject to personal interpretations, social desirability bias, or selective memory, especially in qualitative studies where participant perception plays a significant role. Additionally, the qualitative nature of the study may limit the breadth of data, focusing more on depth and detailed descriptions which might not capture the wider, quantitative impact of IQA across diverse educational settings.

To mitigate these limitations and enhance the robustness of future research, it is recommended to incorporate mixed methods approaches. By combining qualitative insights with quantitative data, researchers can validate findings across different datasets and reduce biases associated with individual perceptions. Employing tools like anonymous surveys could minimize social desirability bias, providing more candid responses. Further, expanding the study to include a larger and more diverse sample of schools can help improve the generalizability of the findings. Finally, triangulating data from different sources—such as direct observations, IQA documentation, and interviews with different stakeholders—can provide a more comprehensive understanding of the impact of IQA practices. These steps would strengthen the research framework and provide a more balanced view of how IQA influences junior high school education.

CONCLUSIONS

This research was embarked upon with the objective of examining the impact of IQA) on three critical dimensions in junior high schools in Indonesia. The findings indicate significant enhancements in classroom structure and organization due to IQA implementation, which, in turn, has positively impacted student learning outcomes by providing clearer educational objectives and engaging learning environments. These improvements are consistent with recent literature that links effective resource allocation and educational guidelines to better learning experiences. The research also demonstrates that IQA has been instrumental in enhancing teacher effectiveness through structured feedback and professional development opportunities. This has led to improved teaching skills and alignment of teaching methodologies with educational standards, fostering overall educational excellence. However, the study also highlights the dual nature of IQA impacts, where the increased administrative demands and continuous pressure for performance can lead to potential teacher burnout and stress. In terms of school management, IQA practices have led to more structured and accountable management systems, improving resource allocation and operational transparency. Nevertheless, the challenges of maintaining such systems and adapting them to local contexts are notable and call for careful implementation. The practical implications of these findings are profound. They suggest that while IQA is beneficial, there is a critical need to balance these benefits with the potential for increased stress and administrative burden. The theoretical implications suggest a reevaluation of the frameworks guiding IQA implementation, advocating for approaches that consider both academic and psychological impacts. However, the study is not without its limitations, primarily the potential biases inherent in self-reported data and the qualitative nature of the research which might limit its generalizability. To address these issues, future research could benefit from a mixed-methods approach, integrating quantitative data to validate findings and expanding the participant pool to enhance generalizability. Additionally, employing tools to reduce bias and triangulating data from various sources would provide a more rounded understanding of IQA's impacts.

Acknowledgment

The authors express sincere gratitude to all research participants, including students, teachers, administrators, parents, and officials, for their invaluable contributions. We also thank our colleagues for their assistance with data collection and analysis, and our institutional and funding partners for their support.

Disclosure Statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Notes on Contributors

Saugadi is currently pursuing a PhD in Faculty Science of Education at Universitas Negeri Malang. In addition to his studies, he serves as a faculty member specializing in the same field. His research focuses on integrating technology into teaching, managing educational systems, and evaluating educational programs and initiatives.

REFERENCES

- Abu-Rumman, A., & Qawasmeh, R. (2022). Assessing international students' satisfaction of a Jordanian university using the service quality model. *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*, 14(4), 1742-1760. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JARHE-05-2021-0166>
- Ahmad, S., & Ahmed, A. (2023). The role of leadership in effective implementation of quality assurance mechanisms in higher education: an exploratory case study from Pakistan. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 31(2), 230-246. <https://doi.org/10.1108/QAE-02-2022-0037>
- Akour, M., & Alenezi, M. (2022). Higher education future in the era of digital transformation. *Education Sciences*, 12(11), 784. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12110784>
- Almuhaideb, A. M., & Saeed, S. (2020). Fostering sustainable quality assurance practices in outcome-based education: Lessons learned from ABET accreditation process of computing programs. *Sustainability*, 12(20), 8380. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12208380>
- Anselmus Dami, Z., Budi Wiyono, B., Imron, A., Burhanuddin, B., Supriyanto, A., & Daliman, M. (2022). Principal self-efficacy for instructional leadership in the perspective of principal strengthening training: work engagement, job satisfaction and motivation to leave. *Cogent Education*, 9(1), 2064407. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2022.2064407>
- Asiyai, R. I. (2022). Best practices for quality assurance in higher education: implications for educational administration. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, 25(5), 843-854. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603124.2019.1710569>
- Bafadal, I., Nurabadi, A., Sobri, A. Y., & Gunawan, I. (2019). The competence of beginner principals as instructional leaders in primary schools. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 5(4), 625-639. https://www.ijicc.net/images/vol5iss4/Pt_2/54217_Bafadal_2019_E_R.pdf
- Beerkens, M. (2020). Evidence-based policy and higher education quality assurance: progress, pitfalls and promise. In *Impact Evaluation of Quality Management in Higher Education* (pp. 38-53). Routledge. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9780429293276-4>
- Benhacine, S. (2023). Enhancing Higher Education Quality: A Proposed Internal Quality Assurance Model for Algerian Institutions. *The Arab Journal For Quality Assurance in Higher Education*, 16(55), 80-96. <http://dx.doi.org/10.20428/ajqahe.v16i55.2166>
- Budiyanti, H., Patiro, S. P. S., Djajadi, M., & Astuty, S. (2020). Does service quality in education and training process matters? Study of government's human resource agencies in Indonesia. *Journal on Efficiency and Responsibility in Education and Science*, 13(1), 41-55. <http://dx.doi.org/10.7160/eriesj.2020.130104>
- Burhan, Mirayanti, Marzuki, Saugadi, & Rusdin, D. (2024). Redefining rural education: exploring EFL teachers' perceptions and recommendations for enhanced human resource management practices. *Cogent Education*, 11(1), 2317503. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2024.2317503>
- Díez, F., Villa, A., López, A. L., & Iraurgi, I. (2020). Impact of quality management systems in the performance of educational centers: educational policies and management processes. *Heliyon*, 6(4). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03824>
- Estevan, I., Bardid, F., Utesch, T., Menescardi, C., Barnett, L. M., & Castillo, I. (2021). Examining early adolescents' motivation for physical education: Associations with actual and perceived motor competence. *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy*, 26(4), 359-374. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17408989.2020.1806995>
- Fernandes, A. A. R. (2020). The influence of quality management on organization performance: service quality and product characteristics as a medium. *Property Management*, 38(3), 383-403. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJAMTR.2021010104>
- Granziera, H., Liem, G. A. D., Chong, W. H., Martin, A. J., Collie, R. J., Bishop, M., & Tynan, L. (2022). The role of teachers' instrumental and emotional support in students' academic buoyancy, engagement, and academic skills: A study of high school

- and elementary school students in different national contexts. *Learning and instruction*, 80, 101619. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2022.101619>
- Gross, D., Bettencourt, A. F., Taylor, K., Francis, L., Bower, K., & Singleton, D. L. (2020). What is parent engagement in early learning? Depends who you ask. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 29, 747-760. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-019-01680-6>
- Hanim, Z., Kaolan, K., Juit, A., Kesuma, J., & Soeod, R. (2022). Leadership of the Principal in Planning the Internal Quality Assurance System at Islamic Elementary School. *EduLine: Journal of Education and Learning Innovation*, 2(3), 331-338.
- Hsu, Y. P. (2023). Developing quality culture in higher education in Taiwan: the changes within quality assurance mechanisms. *Studies in Higher Education*, 48(11), 1741-1753. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2023.2212270>
- Hussain, M. I., Shamim, M., AV, R. S., Lata, S., Purohit, P., & Rani, S. (2022). Performance analytic study of the quality assurance practices and performance in education of India. *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, 3796-3801. <https://journalppw.com/index.php/jpsp/article/view/6827>
- Jam, F. A., Singh, S. K. G., Ng, B., & Aziz, N. (2016). Interactive effects of Gender and Leadership Styles on Open Service Innovation: A Study of Malaysian Doctors, *International Journal of Economics Research*, 13(3), 1287-1304
- Jingura, R. M., & Kamusoko, R. (2019). A competency framework for internal quality assurance in higher education. *International Journal of Management in Education*, 13(2), 119-132. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1504/IJMIE.2019.10018030>
- Lexman, R., & Baral, R. (2022). Student Perceptions Influencing MOOC Enrolment in Higher Education Institutions: An IQA Perspective. *International Journal of Education and Development using Information and Communication Technology*, 18(1), 86-106. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1345825.pdf>
- Li, T., TL Brown, G., & Hawe, E. (2023). The tension between impression management and learning: examining the impact of Chinese students' academic integrity perceptions on self-assessment intentions. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 48(8), 1371-1384. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2023.2222232>
- Madigan, D. J., & Kim, L. E. (2021). Does teacher burnout affect students? A systematic review of its association with academic achievement and student-reported outcomes. *International journal of educational research*, 105, 101714. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjer.2020.101714>
- Maisyaroh, Budi Wiyono, B., Hardika, Valdez, A. V., Mangorsi, S. B., & Canapi, S. P. (2021). The implementation of instructional supervision in Indonesia and the Philippines, and its effect on the variation of teacher learning models and materials. *Cogent Education*, 8(1), 1962232. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2021.1962232>
- Maisyaroh, M., Juharyanto, J., Bafadal, I., Wiyono, B. B., Ariyanti, N. S., Adha, M. A., & Qureshi, M. I. (2021). The principals' efforts in facilitating the freedom to learn by enhancing community participation in Indonesia. *Jurnal Cakrawala Pendidikan*, 40(1), 196-207. <http://dx.doi.org/10.21831/cp.v40i1.36119>
- Mtitu, E. C., Makwinya, N. M., Ishemo, R. P., & Msangya, B. W. (2023). Internal Quality Assurers' Conception on Internal Quality Assurance (IQA) process: Examining Lived Experience of Secondary School Academic Member of staff within Njombe Region, Tanzania. *International Journal of Research in Social Science and Humanities (IJRSS)*. 4(7), 36-45. <https://doi.org/10.47505/IJRSS.2023.V4.7.7>
- Nasheeda, A., Abdullah, H. B., Krauss, S. E., & Ahmed, N. B. (2019). A narrative systematic review of life skills education: effectiveness, research gaps and priorities. *International Journal of Adolescence and Youth*, 24(3), 362-379. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02673843.2018.1479278>
- Naylor, R., Dollinger, M., Mahat, M., & Khawaja, M. (2021). Students as customers versus as active agents: Conceptualising the student role in governance and quality assurance. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 40(5), 1026-1039. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2020.1792850>
- Rahminawati, N., & Supriyadi, T. (2023). Implementing an internal quality assurance system to enhance elementary school education quality. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 22(4), 414-433. <https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.22.4.23>
- Jam, F. A., Haq, I. U., & Fatima, T. (2012). Psychological contract and job outcomes: Mediating role of affective commitment. *Journal of Educational and Social Research*, 2(4), 79-79.
- Seyfried, M., & Pohlenz, P. (2020). Assessing quality assurance in higher education: quality managers' perceptions of effectiveness. In *Impact Evaluation of Quality Management in Higher Education* (pp. 24-37). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21568235.2018.1474777>
- Stalmeijer, R. E., Whittingham, J. R., Bendermacher, G. W., Wolfhagen, I. H., Dolmans, D. H., & Sehlbach, C. (2023). Continuous enhancement of educational quality—fostering a quality culture: AMEE Guide No. 147. *Medical Teacher*, 45(1), 6-16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2022.2057285>
- Tamrat, W. (2022). The nuts and bolts of quality assurance in Ethiopian higher education: Practices, pitfalls, and prospects. *Journal of Education Policy*, 37(3), 443-460. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02680939.2020.1852604>
- Tohani, E., Wibawa, L., & Prasetyo, I. (2023). The Performance of Internal Quality Assurance of Community Learning Center during Covid-19 Outbreak. *Journal of Intercultural Communication*, 23(3), 95-108. <https://doi.org/10.36923/jicc.v23i3.126>
- Unger, J. B., Soto, D., Lee, R., Deva, S., Shanker, K., & Sood, N. (2023). COVID-19 testing in schools: perspectives of school administrators, teachers, parents, and students in Southern California. *Health Promotion Practice*, 24(2), 350-359. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15248399211066076>

Integrative Approaches to Internal Quality Assurance in Junior High Schools: Exploring Impacts on Student Learning, Teacher Effectiveness, and School Management

- VanValkenburgh, J., Putnam, J., & Porter, M. (2021). Middle school parent involvement: Perceptions of teachers and parents. *Middle School Journal*, 52(4), 33-42. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00940771.2021.1948299>
- Verhoeven, M., Poorthuis, A. M., & Volman, M. (2019). The role of school in adolescents' identity development. A literature review. *Educational Psychology Review*, 31, 35-63. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-018-9457-3>
- Wahjono, H., Wiyono, B. B., Maisyaroh, & Mustiningsih. (2021). Development of blended-learning-based semester credit system implementation model to improve learning service. *Information*, 12(12), 511. <https://doi.org/10.3390/info12120511>
- Whalley, B., France, D., Park, J., Mauchline, A., & Welsh, K. (2021). Towards flexible personalized learning and the future educational system in the fourth industrial revolution in the wake of Covid-19. *Higher Education Pedagogies*, 6(1), 79-99. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23752696.2021.1883458>
- Zafar, H., Dubey, M., Lehmann, J., & Demidova, E. (2020). IQA: Interactive query construction in semantic question answering systems. *Journal of Web Semantics*, 64, 100586. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.websem.2020.100586>