Laurent Enrico Aditya Wahyu Saputra<sup>1</sup>, Hambali Thalib<sup>2</sup>, Mulyati Pawennei<sup>3</sup> and Hasbuddin Khallid<sup>4</sup>

#### Abstract

This research aims to comprehensively explore Restorative Justice within Indonesia's judicial system, examining its role, necessity, and implementation methods. The theoretical framework emphasizes reconciliation, reparation, and the involvement of victims, offenders, and communities in justice processes, contrasting with punitive approaches by prioritizing healing and peace restoration. Methodologically, the study employs normative legal research, analyzing Indonesian laws, conducting comparative studies, and exploring doctrinal principles relevant to Restorative Justice. Findings underscore the need for a unified legal framework to ensure consistent application across different judicial levels, advocating for judges to apply Restorative Justice principles effectively through proper training and guidelines. This research contributes valuable insights into enhancing justice and reconciliation practices in Indonesia's criminal justice system.

Keywords: Judge, Nature, Indonesia, Restorative Justice, Sentencing System

## INTRODUCTION

Globalization presents a challenge for future law, necessitating improvements, increased professionalism, and reform for everyone involved in the legal field. This perspective is reflected in the view of national legal unity as a hoped-for legal system, as a consequence of the archipelagic insight that forms the basis of national political strategy. As part of the largest institution, the state has a significant function in realizing the established system order so that it runs optimally. In general, the nation's goals form the fundamental basis for its creation. Whether these goals are positive or negative, they remain the foundation for the existence and formation of the state.

The validity of state functions can be viewed from two aspects of power. The classification of states according to these power functions is as follows:

States where all functions or powers are concentrated in one organ, typically an absolute system. This organ can be characterized in three ways:

Single organ: the highest organ, and supreme power in the state, held by a single individual. This is called a monarchy.

Few individuals: the highest organ and supreme power in the state held by a few individuals. This is known as aristocracy or oligarchy.

Many individuals: the highest organ held by the entire populace. This is called democracy.

States where the functions or powers are separated, typically following Montesquieu's doctrine, with each power distributed among several organs. The crucial aspect is the nature of the relationship between these organs, particularly between the legislative and executive bodies, as this determines the form of government and, ultimately, the state's structure. The relationship's nature, whether the organs can influence each other, is vital.

The view that law can be a means of change and social engineering aligns with the 20th-century welfare state

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Email: laurentenricoadit@gmail.com Orcid: https://orcid.org/0009-0009-7849-6337

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Universitas Muslim Indonesia, Makassar, Indonesia. E-mail: <u>hambali.thalib@umi.ac.id</u> Orcid: https://orcid.org/0009-0001-8505-7297

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> E-mail: <u>mulyati.pawennei@umi.ac.id</u>, Orcid: https://orcid.org/0009-0009-0158-9949

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> E-mail: <u>hasbuddin.khalid@umi.ac.id</u>, Orcid: https://orcid.org/0009-0007-3234-4173

ideal. This perspective has produced many rules, sometimes detailed legislation, and sometimes just basic laws.

Legal development is multi-dimensional and cannot be viewed from a single aspect. Various aspects can be studied in legal development, which always interacts with other disciplines or value systems. Soerjono Soekanto stated:

"The pairing of conservative and innovative values always plays a role in the development of law, as it follows changes that aim to maintain the status quo. On the other hand, there is a strong belief that law can also function as a means of change and creating new things."

Legal development must be based on the principle of balance grounded in Pancasila and balance principles from customary, religious, and international law. The balance principle based on Pancasila means balancing values: divinity, humanity, nationalism, democracy, and social justice. These five values can be condensed into three pillars: divinity, humanity, and society. The balance principle from customary, religious, and international law involves balancing crime prevention, offender treatment, and societal treatment, and resolving cases outside formal processes through reconciliation or penal mediation.

Legal development, particularly criminal law reform, must include developing legal institutions and the substance of legal products from the legal system, in the form of criminal regulations and cultural aspects that influence the legal system's application. In other words, Indonesia's dream for 2085 is to be sovereign, advanced, just, and prosperous. To achieve this, four pillars must be realized by 2045:

Human resource development and mastery of science and technology;

Sustainable economic development;

Equitable development;

National resilience and good governance.

Legal protection for society is a primary goal of the nation's founders, as stated in the preamble to the Indonesian Constitution, particularly the fourth paragraph, which states: "...Then, to form an Indonesian government that protects all Indonesian people and the entire homeland of Indonesia and to promote public welfare, educate the nation, and participate in world order based on freedom, eternal peace, and social justice, Indonesian independence is established in an Indonesian Constitution, forming a sovereign Indonesian republic based on belief in one God, a just and civilized humanity, Indonesian unity, democracy led by wisdom in deliberation/representation, and by realizing social justice for all Indonesian people."

From the fourth paragraph of the preamble, it is clear that the goals of "social defense" and "social welfare" must be reflected in national development goals and aligned with universal legal developments for legal order among nations in the multidimensional era of globalization. The state's legal protection for society can be seen from its criminal law policy. The institution officially appointed by the government to handle crime reactions is the Criminal Justice System. The Criminal Justice System is a system in society to combat crime, with detailed goals:

Preventing the public from becoming victims of crime;

Resolving crime cases to satisfy public justice and punishing the guilty;

Preventing recidivism.

The Criminal Justice System (CJS) often only focuses on the rights of the perpetrator, neglecting the rights of victims, making criminal law seem more protective of perpetrators' rights. Thus, restorative justice can be a solution for criminal justice reform.

Criminal law reform includes criminal policy or criminal justice policy. G. Peter Hoefnagels states that "criminal policy is the rational organization of social reactions to crime" and offers several definitions:

Criminal policy is the science of response to crime;

Criminal policy is the science of crime prevention;

- Criminal policy is the science of designating human behavior as crime;
- Criminal policy is a rational response to crime, implemented in various forms, including:
  - Criminal law application;
  - Crime prevention without punishment;
  - Influencing societal views on crime and punishment through mass media.

The central issue in criminal law policy is criminalization, which must consider: The national development goal of creating a materially and spiritually prosperous society based on Pancasila, aiming to combat crime and provide corrective measures for crime control for societal welfare and protection; Acts to be prevented or combated by criminal law must be undesirable and harmful (materially or spiritually) to society; Criminal law use must consider the cost-benefit principle; Criminal law use must consider the capacity and workload of law enforcement agencies to avoid overburdening them. From a sociological perspective, punishment has many meanings, as David Garland states: "Punishment is not reducible to a single meaning or purpose. It is a social institution embodying a range of purposes and historical meanings."

Garland views punishment as a social institution with various deep historical meanings. Andrew Von Hirsch distinguishes criminal sanctions from everyday wrongdoing, stating: "The criminal sanction announces in advance that specified categories of conduct are punishable. Because the prescribed sanction expresses blame, this conveys the message that the conduct is reprehensible and should be avoided. It is not necessarily about inculcating that the conduct is wrong."

The implementation of imprisonment in correctional facilities is based on correctional principles as goals, processes, and implementations of prison sentences in Indonesia. Correctional principles, as goals, processes, and implementations, have proven independence and demonstrated both success and failure. Law enforcement officers often feel constrained by the legality principle, which requires criminal cases to be resolved based on legislation. Law enforcement is bound by the letter of the law, even in seeking justice and utility, which must align with legal certainty. Such law enforcement processes often fail to achieve the desired justice for the parties involved (perpetrators, victims, and society). Satjipto Rahardjo argued that litigation, as a form of law enforcement, leads to slow resolution and case backlog due to lengthy processes in the Criminal Lustice System. Restorative justice is now being recognized as an alternative process for resolving criminal cases. The paradigm of punishment in Indonesian criminal law is shifting from retributive justice to restorative justice. This paradigm shift necessitates a change in mindset among law enforcement officers, especially judges. Judges must transition from a punishment-based (retributive) approach to a restorative justice approach focused on restoring conditions.

The goal of restorative justice education is to change societal perspectives on crime and problematic behavior, replacing professional punitive justice systems with community-based restorative justice and moral social control. Restorative justice aims to not only effectively control crime but also achieve other desired goals, such as meaningful justice experiences for crime victims, healing from trauma, and reintegrating offenders into law-abiding communities. John Braithwaite, Howard Zehr, and Mark Umbreit, proponents of restorative justice, see it as an effort to eliminate punishment for wrongdoing by giving offenders responsibility and involving all parties (offenders, victims, and society). Restorative justice involves apologies, restitution, acknowledgment of wrongdoing, and efforts to heal and reintegrate offenders into society with or without additional punishment, allowing them to improve themselves. The ideal form of restorative justice includes repair, restoration, reconciliation, and reintegration of offenders and victims with each other and the community.

The trend shows a shift in punishment perspectives towards justice for all parties involved, giving offenders a chance to improve themselves and be accepted back into society. In Indonesia, restorative justice practices are particularly used for minor offenses. Restorative justice is gaining legal support through Indonesian National Police Regulation Number 6 of 2019 on Criminal Investigation and Indonesian Prosecutor's Office

Regulation Number 15 of 2020 on Termination of Prosecution Based on Restorative Justice. Restorative justice-based case handling can make punishment a last resort, reduce case backlogs in courts, and alleviate overcapacity in correctional facilities. Termination of prosecution based on restorative justice is carried out by prosecutors to meet public justice needs, balancing legal certainty (rechtmatigheid) and utility (doelmatigheid) in the exercise of prosecutorial authority based on law and conscience. In response to legal dynamics and community legal needs, the Attorney General of Indonesia issued Regulation Number 15 of 2020 on Termination of Prosecution Based on Restorative Justice. Restorative justice benefits victims by empowering them, fulfilling their needs and rights, and actively involving them in the case resolution process. The process should be offered to the parties voluntarily and not as an automatic service. Restorative justice processes can be applied throughout the criminal justice process. This alternative can avoid lengthy and cumbersome formal litigation. The formal criminal justice process is often costly and slow, resulting in justice being delayed and denied. Restorative justice and penal mediation should be considered for all types of cases, excluding serious crimes and offenses.

Judges play a crucial role in the development of restorative justice in Indonesia. The concept and application of restorative justice principles by the judiciary and throughout the Indonesian legal system are expected to bring about justice aligned with the values of Pancasila, customary law, and religious teachings. The concept of restorative justice has long been recognized in Indonesian society through local wisdom and customary law values. Restorative justice is primarily applied to cases involving children as perpetrators to protect them from the negative impacts of development and globalization. Legal protection for child offenders includes the concepts of restorative justice and diversion, aiming to resolve cases outside the formal criminal justice process. This process involves the active participation of family and community. Legal protection, in this context, ensures certainty of rights and shields the weak from behavior that contravenes legal norms.

#### METHODOLOGY

This study employs a normative legal research methodology using several legal approaches, including legal document analysis of Indonesian laws, regulations, and judicial decisions pertinent to Restorative Justice, comparative analysis of Indonesian Restorative Justice practices with those in other jurisdictions, and doctrinal analysis of legal doctrines and principles that support or inhibit the application of Restorative Justice.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

#### The Nature of Restorative Justice in the Indonesian Criminal System

In criminal law enforcement, the concept of a sentencing system is recognized. According to L.H.G. Hulsman, the definition of a sentencing system is very broad; it refers to the statutory rules relating to penal sanctions and punishment. The most traditional sentencing system is retributive, or punitive, justice. Although retributive justice is the oldest system, it often fails to deter crime effectively, leading to dissatisfaction. Restorative Justice emerged from dissatisfaction and frustration with the formal justice system. While courts strive to create justice, in practice, achieving it is not always easy due to the win-lose nature of the process. This can result in feelings of dissatisfaction or resentment in the losing party, who may seek "justice" at higher levels of the judiciary, such as the High Court or the Supreme Court. In response to this frustration, the Restorative Justice System was introduced to involve all parties in the conflict, as well as the surrounding community, in resolving issues and addressing consequences. Restorative Justice is based on the belief that all involved parties should actively participate in finding a resolution. This approach is seen as a means to promote peaceful expression, build appreciation for diversity, and enhance responsible community practices.

Restorative Justice focuses on the needs of both victims and offenders, and it helps offenders avoid future crimes. It is based on a theory of justice that views crime and violations as infringements on individuals or communities, rather than just the state. Through Restorative Justice, dialogue between victims and offenders aims to foster offender accountability and provide higher satisfaction for victims. Voluntariness is a

fundamental requirement for achieving peace in Restorative Justice. Restorative Justice is a problem-solving model that involves victims, offenders, their social networks, judicial institutions, and the community. Its core principle is to provide restitution to victims through compensation, peace, community service, or other agreements. Restorative Justice must be impartial, fair, and focused on truth and equity in all aspects of life. Therefore, offenders have the opportunity to engage in restoration, the community plays a role in maintaining peace, and the court maintains public order. The implementation of Restorative Justice in Indonesia involves three main law enforcement bodies:

Supreme Court: Actively participates in the development of Restorative Justice in Indonesia by issuing the Director General of the General Court's Decree No. 1691/DJU/SK/PS.00/12/2020 on the Guidelines for the Implementation of Restorative Justice in General Courts. This decree outlines that Restorative Justice is a principle of law enforcement for resolving cases through dialogue and mediation involving all relevant parties. However, the implementation of this decree was suspended and replaced by Supreme Court Regulation No. 1 of 2024 on Guidelines for Adjudicating Criminal Cases Based on Restorative Justice.

Prosecutor's Office: Regulates Restorative Justice in the Indonesian Prosecutor's Office Regulation No. 15 of 2020 on Termination of Prosecution Based on Restorative Justice, emphasizing case resolution by involving offenders, victims, and related parties to achieve restoration to the original state, rather than merely punishment.

Police: Regulates Restorative Justice in the Indonesian National Police Regulation No. 8 of 2021 on the Plan for Criminal Actions Based on Restorative Justice. In the Police, Restorative Justice involves all relevant parties to find a fair resolution through reconciliation, emphasizing restoration to the original state.

Despite the differences in regulations, the views of the three law enforcement bodies align philosophically. Restorative Justice considers crime as a violation against individuals and the community, not just the state, and aims to heal the wounds or losses suffered by victims. This approach sees justice as an evaluation of treatment or actions according to prevailing norms, with a focus on restoration and reducing stigma against offenders.

However, the process is not always easy, as emotions are involved for both victims and offenders. It requires genuine willingness from both parties to make amends and address the harm caused. Restorative Justice aims to restore the original state, which can sometimes be challenging to achieve, such as when a victim cannot fully recover from their injuries.

#### The Position Of Restorative Justice Within The Penal System In Indonesia

Restorative justice plays a crucial role across all levels of law enforcement bodies, including the police, prosecution, and the Supreme Court. This approach emphasizes peaceful resolution of conflicts between offenders and victims, promoting rehabilitation without lengthy formal legal processes.

Firstly, at the police level, restorative justice offers an alternative for handling minor to moderate criminal cases. Police can use mediation to reconcile both parties and restore harmony between them. This approach not only reduces the burden on the criminal justice system but also allows communities to actively participate in resolving conflicts.

Secondly, the prosecution plays a critical role in determining the course of legal proceedings. They may consider redirecting cases towards restorative justice if deemed appropriate and beneficial to all parties involved. The prosecution acts as a facilitator to ensure that the mediation process is fair and adheres to applicable legal standards.

Thirdly, the Supreme Court supports the formal legal backing of restorative justice implementation at the national level. They can develop guidelines and policies to support law enforcement agencies in consistently

and effectively applying this approach. By providing legal recognition and support, the Supreme Court helps strengthen restorative justice practices as an integral part of the broader judicial system.

Overall, restorative justice is not just an alternative dispute resolution method but also reflects deeper values of justice within society. This approach not only transforms how traditional law enforcement bodies operate but also broadens perspectives on justice by actively involving individuals and communities in processes of reconciliation and rehabilitation.

Restorative justice plays a crucial role at all levels of law enforcement bodies, namely the police, prosecution, and the Supreme Court. This approach aims to peacefully resolve conflicts between offenders and victims, as well as promote rehabilitation without lengthy and burdensome formal legal processes.

Firstly, at the police level, restorative justice provides an alternative in handling minor to moderate criminal cases. Police can use mediation to facilitate dialogue between offenders and victims to achieve a fair and satisfying agreement for both parties. This approach not only alleviates the burden on the criminal justice system but also allows communities to actively participate in resolving conflicts at the grassroots level.

Secondly, the prosecution holds a strategic role in determining the course of legal proceedings. They can assess cases to decide whether using a restorative justice approach is more beneficial than conventional judicial processes. The prosecution is responsible for ensuring that mediation between offenders and victims adheres to principles of justice and applicable laws, while also providing opportunities for offender rehabilitation without neglecting the interests of victims.

Thirdly, the role of the Supreme Court is crucial in providing guidance and legal support for the implementation of restorative justice at the national level. The Supreme Court can issue guidelines and policies that support law enforcement agencies in consistently and effectively applying this approach. By offering clear legal recognition and support, the Supreme Court helps strengthen restorative justice practices as an integral part of the broader judicial system.

Overall, restorative justice is not merely an alternative method for dispute resolution, but also represents deeper values of justice within society. This approach emphasizes reconciliation, rehabilitation, and active participation of all parties involved, thereby expanding perspectives on justice within and beyond formal legal processes.

### CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis in this study, the researcher concludes that Restorative Justice in Indonesia's criminal justice system is a principle aimed at achieving peace among offenders, victims, and the community through a consultative process to restore the situation or compensate for damages caused by criminal acts. However, its implementation remains fragmented across various levels of law enforcement, potentially leading to sectoral egoism among law enforcement agencies. Therefore, unified legislation is needed to streamline legal processes, alongside campaigns to enhance public and law enforcement understanding of the benefits and processes of Restorative Justice, and to change the perception that prison sentences are the only form of justice available.

#### REFERENCES

Books

A'an Efendi, Freddy Poernomo, Indra S. Ranuh, 2017, Teori Hukum, Sinar Grafika, Jakarta.

Achmad Ali, 2009, Menguak Teori Hukum (Legal Theory) Dan Teori Peradilan (Judicialprudence) Termasuk Intepretasi Undang-Undang (Legisprudence), Kencana Prenada Media Group, Jakarta.

Adies Kadir, 2018, Menyelamatkan Wakil Tuhan : Memperkuat Peran dan Kedudukan Hakim, Merdeka Book, cetakan pertama, Tangerang.

Ahmadi Miru, 2018, Hukum Kontrak & Perancangan Kontrak, Rajawali Pers, Depok.

Ahmad Muliadi, 2012, Makalah Politik Hukum, SAP S-2 Universitas Jayabaya, Jakarta.

Ahmad Wardi Muslich, 2010, Fiqh Muamalah, Amzah, Jakarta.

Amiruddin dan Zainal Asikin, 2004, Pengantar Metode Penelitian Hukum, Raja Grafindo Persada, Jakarta.

Andrew Von Hirsch, 1993, Censure And Sanctions, Oxford University Press, Oxford New York.

Andi Hamzah, 2008, Hukum Acara Pidana Indonesia, Sinar Grafika, Jakarta.

Andi Hamzah, RM Surachman, 2015, Pre Trial Justice dan Discretionary Justice Dalam KUHAP Berbagai Negara, Sinar Grafika, Jakarta.

Anthon F Susanto, 2004, Wajah Peradilan Kita Konstruksi Sosial tentang Penyimpangan, mekanisme control dan akuntabilitas Peradilan Pidana, Refika Aditama, Bandung.

Artidjo Alkostar dan M. Sholeh Amin, (eds), 1986, Pembangunan Hukum dalam Perspektif Politik Hukum Nasional, CV. Rajawali, Jakarta.

Arief, H., Ambarsari, N, 2018, Penerapan Prinsip Restorative Justice dalam Sistem Peradilan Pidana di Indonesia, Al'Adl 10.

Atmadja, I Dewa Gede, 2010, Hukum Konstitusi (Problematika Konstitusi Indonesia Sesudah Perubahan UUD 1945), Edisi Revisi, Setara Press, Malang.

Bambang Poernomo, 2000, Orientasi Hukum Acara Pidana Indonesia, Citra Aditya Bakti, Bandung.

Bambang Waluyo, 2017, Desain Fungsi Kejaksaan Pada Restorative Justice, Raja Grafindo Persada, Depok.

Barda Nawawi Arief, 2012, Mediasi Penal Penyelesaian Perkara Di Luar Pengadilan, Pustaka Magister, Semarang.

, 2010, Kebijakan Legislatif dalam Penanggulangan Kejahatan Dengan Pidana Penjara, Genta Publishing, Yogyakarta.

, 2009, Tujuan dan Pedoman Pemidanaan, Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang.

, 2002, Bunga Rampai Kebijakan Hukum Pidana, Citra, Bandung.

, 2001, Masalah Penegakan Hukum Dan Kebijakan Penanggulangan Kejahatan, Citra Aditya Bakti, Bandung.

, 1996, Bunga Rampai Kebijakan Hukum Pidana, Citra Aditia Bakti, Bandung.

, 1994, Kebijakan Legislatif dalam Penanggulangan Kejahatan dengan Pidana Penjara, Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang.

, 1989, Sistem Pemidanaan Menurut Konsep KUHP Baru dan Latar Belakang Pemikirannya, Universitas Cendana, Kupang.

Barton, Charles K. B, 2003, Restorative Justice (The Empowerment Model), Hawkins Press, Australia.

Basrief Arief, 2013, Peran Kejaksaan dalam Sistem Peradilan Pidana: Menata Sistem Peradilan Pidana Terpadu, Gaung Persada Press, Jakarta,

Bryan A. Garner, Henry Campbell Black, 2004, Black's Law Dictionary, Minnesota: West Group.

Bimo Walgito, 1994, Psikologi Sosial (Suatu Pengantar), Andi Offset, Yogyakarta.

Budiono Kusumohamidjojo, 1999, Ketertiban yang Adil, Problematika Filsafat Hukum, Grasindo, Jakarta.

Burt Galaway dan Joe Hudson, 1990, Criminal Justice, Restitution and Reconciliation (Criminal Justice), Monsey, Criminal Justice Press, New York.

C.S.T. Kansil, 2002, Pengantar Ilmu Hukum, Balai Pustaka, Jakarta.

, 1989, Pengantar Ilmu Hukum dan Tata Hukum Indonesia, balai pustaka.

C.I. Harsono HS, 1995, Sistem Baru Pembinaan Narapidana, Djambatan, Jakarta.

Chairul Huda, 2011, Dari Tiada Pidana Tanpa Kesalahan Menuju Kepada Tiada Pertanggungjawaban Pidana Tanpa Kesalahan, Tinjauan Kritis Terhadap Teori

Zaeni Asyhadie dan Arief Rahman, 2013, Pengantar Ilmu Hukum, PT. Raja Grafindo Persada, Jakarta.

Journal

- A. A. Ngurah Wirajaya, 2013, Asas Tiada Pidana Tanpa Kesalahan (Asas Kesalahan) Dalam Hubungannya Dengan Pertanggungjawaban Pidana Korporasi, Jurnal Fakultas Hukum Universitas Udayana, Bali.
- A Wijayanta, 2014, Asas Kepastian Hukum, Keadilan dan Kemanfaatan Dalam Kaitannya Dengan Putusan Kepailitan Pengadilan Niaga, Jurnal Dinamika Hukum, Volume 14 (2).
- Abu, Sulaeman, Sufirman Rahman, and Ilham Abbas. "Efektivitas Penanganan dan Perlindungan Hukum bagi Anak Pelaku Tindak Pidana pada Satuan Reserse Kriminal Polres Barru." Journal of Lex Philosophy (JLP) 3.2 (2022): 206-221. Diunduh di http://pasca-umi.ac.id/index.php/jlp/article/view/1464/1688 pada tanggal 19 Mei 2023
- Achmad Ali, 2017, Menguak Teori Hukum (Legal Theory) dan Teori Peradilan (Judicial Prudence) Termasuk Interpretasi Undang-Undang (Legisprudence), Volume 1 Pemahaman Awal, Kencana, Jakarta.
- Ahmad Ulil Aedi dan FX Adji Samekto, 2013, Rekonstruksi Asas Kesamaan Di Hadapan Hukum (Equality Before The Law) (Suatu Kajian Khusus Putusan Mahkamah Konstitusi Perkara 21-22/Puuv/2007 Dalam Perspektif Filsafat Hukum), Jurnal Law Reform, Volume 8 (2).
- Aga Anum Prayudi, Syuha Maisytho Probilla, Andi Najemi, (2021), "Perlindungan Hukum Terhadap Anak Korban Tindak Seksual," PAMPAS: Journal of Criminal Volume Pidana Kekerasan Law, 2, Nomor 1, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.22437/pampas.v2i1.12684

Agustinus Gabriel, 2022, Komparasi Pendekatan Restorative Justice Dalam Penanganan Perkara Pidana Antara Kepolisian dan Kejaksaan, Jurnal Hukum dan Pembangunan Ekonomi, 10(2), Surakarta.

Alifianissa Puspaningtyas Nugroho, 2023, Restorative Justice: Terwujudnya Asas Keadilan dan Asas Kepastian Hukum Pada Instansi Kepolisian, Universitas Sebelas Maret, Jurnal Recidive Volume 13 Nomor 2, Surakarta.

Arief, H., & Ambarsari, N, (2018), Penerapan Prinsip Restorative Justice Dalam Sistem Peradilan Pidana di Indonesia, Al-Adl: Jurnal Hukum, 10(2). doi: 10.31602/al-adl.v10i2.1362.

- Armunanto Hutahaean, 2022, Penerapan Restorative Justice Oleh Kepolisian Negara Republik Indonesia Untuk Mewujudkan Tujuan Hukum, Jurnal Hukum tora, 8 (2), Jakarta Timur.
- Bachtiar Baital, 2015, Asas Praduga Tidak Bersalah Dalam Dimensi Pembuktian: Telaah Teoritik Dari Optik Perlindungan Hak Asasi Manusia, Salam; Jurnal Sosial dan Budaya Syar'l, Volume II (2).
- Besse, Yusnani, Mulyati Pawennei, and Azwad Rachmat Hambali. "Penerapan Konsep Keadilan Restorative Justice (Restorative Justice) Sebagai Alternatif Dalam Penyelesaian Perkara Tindak Pidana Studi Kasus Di Kejaksaan Tinggi Sulawesi Selatan." Journal of Lex Philosophy (JLP) 3.2 (2022): 278-296. Diunduh di http://www.pasca-umi.ac.id/index.php/jlp/article/view/1472 pada tanggal 20 Mei 2023
- Djanggih, H., & Salle, S, (2017), Aspek Hukum Pengadaan Tanah bagi Pelaksanaan Pembangunan untuk Kepentingan Umum, Pandecta Research Law Journal, 12(2), 165-172, hal. 66. https://journal.unnes.ac.id/nju/index.php/pandecta/article/view/11677.
- La Ode Husen & Nurul Qamar, 2022, Teori Hukum Relasi Teori dan Realita, Humanities Genius, Makassar, halaman 3. https://books.google.co.id/books?id=W7VmEAAAQBAJ&pg=PA1&hl=id&source=gbs\_toc\_r&cad=2#v=onepage&q &f=false
- Lathif, 2017, Teori Hukum Sebagai Sarana/Alat Untuk Memperbaharui Atau Merekayasa Masyarakat Nazaruddin, Pakuan Law Review, Volume 3, Nomor 1.
- Mansyur, Ridwan, 2010, Mediasi Penal Terhadap perkara KDRT (Kekerasan Dalam Rumah Tangga), Yayasan Gema Yustisia Indonesia, Jakarta.
- Marsuni, L., & Nawi, S, (2022), Urgensi Pengaduan Konstitusi Bagi Perlindungan Hak Konstitusional Warga Negara Di Indonesia, Journal of Lex Generalis (JLG), 3(2), 346-359. https://mail.pascaumi.ac.id/index.php/jlg/article/view/792/829.
- Mas Achmad Sentosa, 2014, Gerakan Pembaharuan Hukum Lingkungan Indonesia dan Perwujudan Tata Kelola Lingkungan yang Baik Dalam Negara Demokrasi, Jurnal Hukum Lingkungan Indonesia, 1(1).
- Melani, Oktober 2005, "Membangun Sistem Hukum Pidana dari Retributif ke Restoratif", Litigasi, Volume 6 Nomor 3.
- Moch Ichwan Kurniawan, 2021, Penerapan Asas Persamaan di Hadapan Hukum dalam Praktik Peradilan Pidana (Studi Putusan Pengadilan Negeri Bandung Nomor: 221/Pid.B/2019/PN.Bdg), Jurnal Studi Hukum Pidana, Volume 1 (1).
- Mospa Darma, 2021, Perlindungan Hukum Terhadap Konsumen Muslim dari Produk Pangan yang Tidak Berlabel Halal dalam Perspektif Undang-Undang No. 33 Tahun 2014 Tentang Jaminan Produk Halal (Studi Kasus Di Kota Medan), Disertasi Doktor Pasca Sarjana Program Studi Hukum Islam Universitas Islam Negeri Medan.
- Mubarok, Nafi', 2015, Tujuan Pemidanaan Dalam Hukum Pidana Nasional Dan Fiqh Jinayah, Al-Qānūn: Jurnal Pemikiran Dan Pembaharuan Hukum Islam, 18(2)
- Musa, Yanto, Hambali Thalib, and Hasbuddin Khalid. "Kejaksaan sebagai Penegak Hukum dalam Penyidikan Tindak Pidana pencucian Uang." Journal of Lex Generalis (JLG) 3.4 (2022): 772-787. Diunduh di https://mail.pasca-umi.ac.id/index.php/jlg/article/view/834/890 pada tanggal 20 Mei 2023
- Niru Anita Sinaga, 2020, Keselarasan Asas-Asas Hukum Perjanjian Untuk Mewujudkan Keadilan Bagi Para Pihak Dalam Suatu Perjanjian, Jurnal Mitra Manajemen, Volume 7 (1).
- Notohamidjojo, 1970, Makna Negara Hukum bagi Pembaharuan Negara dan Wibawa Hukum bagi Pembaharuan Masyarakat di Indonesia, Badan Penerbit Kristen, Jakarta.
- Nurkasihani, I. Restorative Justice, Alternatif Baru dalam Sistem Pemidanaan. https://www.jdih.tanahlautkab.go.id/artikel\_hukum/detail/restorativejustice-alternatif-baru-dalam-sistem
- Nur, Muh Tahmid, 2013, Maslahat Dalam Hukum Pidana Islam, Jurnal Diskursus Islam.
- Nuraeni, Y. & Sihombing, L.A, (2019), Kebijakan Hukum Pidana terhadap Restorative Justice dalam Perspektif Hak Asasi Manusia, Jurnal Hukum POSITUM, 4 (1).
- Nurul Qamar, dkk, 2018, Negara Hukum atau Negara Kekuasaan (Rechtsstaat or Machtstaat), CV. Social Politic Genius (SIGn), Makassar, halaman 1. https://books.google.co.id/books/about/Negara\_Hukum\_atau\_Negara\_Kekuasaan\_Recht.html?id=3DWdDwAAQBAJ

%redir\_esc=y.

- Pan Muhammad Faiz, 2016, Dimensi Judicial Activism Dalam Putusan Mahkamah Konstitusi, Jurnal Konstitusi Volume 16.
- Pelikan, C, 2020, Balancing the debate: on the professionalisation and victim orientation in restorative justice, The International Journal of Restorative Justice, Volume 3, Nomor 2.
- Rahman, S., Qamar, N., & Kamran, M. (2020), Efektivitas Pembagian Harta Bersama Pasca Perceraian: Studi Kasus Perkawinan Poligami. SIGn Jurnal Hukum, 1(2), 104-118. halaman 108. https://jurnal.penerbitsign.com/index.php/sjh/article/view/60.
- Rahman, S., & Arief, A, (2022), Efektivitas Penyelidikan Dalam Pengungkapan Tindak Pidana Penipuan Online Melalui Media Elektronik Internet Di Polrestabes Makassar, Journal of Lex Generalis (JLG), 3(5),1053-1066, halaman 1060- 1064. http://pasca-umi.ac.id/index.php/jlg/article/view/884/952.
- Rena Yulia, 2012, Penerapan Keadilan Restoratif Dalam Putusan Hakim:Upaya Penyelesaian Konflik Melalui Sistem Peradilan Pidana, Jurnal Yudisial Vol. 5 No. 2, jakarta.

- Rina Nurhaliza, Herry Liyus, and Dheny Wahyudi, 2021, "Pelaksanaan Kesepakatan Diversi Pada Tingkat Penyidikan Dalam Sistem Peradilan Anak," PAMPAS: Journal of Criminal Law, Volume 1, Nomor 1 diakses dari https://doi.org/10.22437/pampas.v1i1.8097
- Rusli Effendy dan Andi Sofyan, 1998, "Kompleksitas dan Optimasi Pemberantasan Tindak Pidana Ekonomi", Jurnal Hukum Pidana dan Kriminologi, Volume I/Nomor 1/1998, Citra Aditya Bakti, Bandung.
- Samudra Putra Indratanto, dkk, 2020, Asas Kepastian Hukum Dalam Implementasi Putusan Mahkamah Konstitusi Berbentuk Peraturan Lembaga Negara Dan Peraturan Pemerintah Pengganti Undang-Undang, Jurnal Ilmu Hukum, Volume 16 (1).
- Sari, D. P., Sawitri, H., & Muflichah, S, (2022), Penerapan prinsip restorative justice dalam sistem peradilan pidana di indonesia, Soedirman Law Review, 4(2).
- Satjipto Rahadjo, 1998, Sistem Peradilan Pidana Dalam Wacana Kontrol Sosial, Jurnal Hukum Pidana Dan Kriminologi, Volume I, Nomor I, PT. Citra Aditya Bakti, Bandung.
  - , 2005, Hukum Progresif: Hukum yang Membebaskan. Jurnal Hukum Progresif Program Doktor Ilmu Hukum Univ. Diponegoro, Volume 1, Nomor 1.
- Shapland, Joanna, 2020, E. a, From victimisation to restorative justice: developing the offer of restorative justice, The International Journal of Restorative Justice, Volume 3, Nomor 2.
- Sodiqin, A, (2015), Restorative Justice dalam Tindak Pidana Pembunuhan: Perspektif Hukum Pidana Indonesia dan Hukum Pidana Islam, Asy-Syir'ah: Jurnal Ilmu Syari'ah dan Hukum, 49(1), 64. doi: 10.30656/ajudikasi.v2i1.575.

Steven Lubet, 1997, Judicial Independence and Independence Judge, Hofsitra Law Review, Volume 25.

- Sudiarawan, K. A., Tanaya, P. E., & Hermanto, B, (2020), Discover the Legal Concept in the Sociological Study, Substantive Justice International Journal of Law, 3(1).
- Susanto, N.A., 2014, Dimensi Aksiologis Dari Putusan Kasus "ST" Kajian Putusan Peninjauan Kembali Nomor 97 PK/Pid.Sus/2012, Jurnal Yudisial 7.
- Sutarto, S, (2021), Penerapan Rehabilitasi Medis Dan Rehabilitasi Sosial Terhadap Korban Penyalahgunaan Narkotika Ditinjau Dari Teori Pemidanaan Relatif, Jurnal Penegakan Hukum Indonesia, 2(1).
- Sutrisno dan Fenty Puluhulawa, 2020, Penerapan Asas Keadilan, Kepastian Hukum Dan Kemanfaatan Dalam Putusan Hakim Tindak Pidana Korupsi, Gorontalo Law Review, 3(2), Gorontalo.
- Syamsudin, M, (2012), Konstruksi Baru Budaya Hukum Hakim, Kencana Prenada Media Grup, Jakarta.
- Taufik Hidayat, 2005, "Restoratif Justice Sebuah Alternatif", Restorasi, Edisi IV/Vol 1.
- Tiar Adi Riyanto, 2021, Fungsionalisasi Prinsip Dominus Litis Dalam Penegakan Hukum Pidana Di Indonesia, Lex Renaissan, Vol.6 No.3.
- W. A. Bonger, Pengantar Tentang Kriminologi, Terjemahan Oleh R.A. Koesnoen, PT. Pembangunan, Jakarta.
- Wibowo, Pungky, Sufirman Rahman, and Sri Lestari Poernomo, "Penerapan Restorative Justice Tindak Pidana Lalu Lintas yang Mengakibatkan Korban Jiwa: Studi Di Kabupaten Enrekang." Journal of Lex Generalis (JLG) 3.11 (2022): 1777-1789. Diunduh di http://www.pasca-umi.ac.id/index.php/jlg/article/view/1214/1380 pada tanggal 18 Mei 2023
- Wulandari, C, 2018, Penyelesaian Perkara Pidana Melalui Mediasi Penal: Access To Justice di Tingkat Kepolisian, Jurnal HUMANI (Hukum Dan Masyarakat Madani, Volume 8, Nomor 1.
- Yulia, R, 2012, Penerapan Keadilan Restorative Justice Dalam Putusan Hakim: Upaya Penyelesaian Konflik Melalui Sistem Peradilan Pidana, Jurnal Yudisial, Volume 5, Nomor 2.
- Zainab Ompu Jainah, Pengaturan Interaksi Proses Penyidikan dan Penuntutan dalam Sister Peradilan Pidana di Indonesia, Jurnal Ilmu Hukum Keadilan Progresif FH Universitas Bandar Lampung, Volume 9 Nomor 1 Maret 2018.
- Disertation
- Esmi Warassih, 1991, Implementasi Kebijaksanaan Pemerintah melalui Peraturan Perundang-Undangan dalam Perspektif Sosiologis, Disertasi Program Pascasarjana Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya.
- Rofingi, 2019, Asas Equality Before The Law Dalam Perspektif Hukum Islam Dan Konstitusi Indonesia (Studi Tentang Implementasi Hukum Pidana Di Indonesia)", Disertasi Doctoral IAIN Purwokerto.

Website:

http://www.restorativejustice.org, diakses pada tanggal 8 Mei 2021

- http://portaluniversitasquality.ac.id:55555/169/4/BAB%20II.pdf.
- https://nasional.sindonews.com/read/288400/13/ma-terbitkan-pedoman-penerapan-keadilan-restoratif-dalam perkarapidana-1609639270
- Setyo Sri P, https://www.academia.edu/30239893/PENEMUAN\_DAN\_PENAFSIRAN\_HUKUM
- Sumarwoto, Perhutani sepakat damai dengan warga Petahunan Banyumas, https://www.antaranews.com/berita/1702114/perhutani-sepakat-damai-dengan-warga-petahunan-banyumas diakses pada tanggal 28 Oktoner 2020
- Suntoro, A. Restorative Justice dan Reformasi KUHP. https://nasional.kompas.com/read/2021/11/01/06000061/restorative-justice-danreformasi-kuhp?page=all.
- Tya, Guru Olahraga di Purbalingga yang Ditetapkan Jadi Tersangka Dibebaskan dari Tuntutan, Sumber: https://radarbanyumas.disway.id/read/23724/guru-olahraga-di-purbalingga-yang-ditetapkan-jadi-tersangka dibebaskandari-tuntutan diakses pada tanggal 28 Oktoner 2020.

Regulation

Undang-Undang Dasar Negara Republik Indonesia Tahun 1945;

Kitab Undang-Undang Hukum Pidana;

Kitab Undang-Undang Hukum Acara Pidana;

Peraturan Mahkamah Agung Nomor 1 Tahun 2022 tentang Tata Cara Penyelesaian Permohonan dan Pemberian Restitusi dan Kompensasi kepada Korban Tindak Pidana

Peraturan Mahkamah Agung No. 2 Tahun 2012 Tentang Penyesuaian Batas Tindak Pidana Ringan;

Peraturan Mahkamah Agung No. 4 Tahun 2014;

Peraturan Mahkamah Agung No. 3 Tahun 2017;

Peraturan Kepolisian Negara Republik Indonesia No. 8 Tahun 2021;

Peraturan Kejaksaan Republik Indonesia Nomor 15 Tahun 2020 Tentang Penghentian Penuntutan Berdasarkan Keadilan Restoratif

Surat Keterangan Direktur Jenderal Badan Peradilan Umum Mahkamah Agung No. 1691/DJU/SK/PS.00/12/2020 Tentang Pemberlakuan Pedoman Penerapan Keadilan Restorative Justice